Alternatives Identification and Evaluation Report

November 2011

APPENDIX A

Initial Alternatives Identification & Pre-Screening

Draft

1. Overview of Design Concepts and Pre-Screening Results

Over 570 suggestions were submitted by project stakeholders on how to address the Purpose and Need of the I-290 project. As part of the pre-screening process, the suggestions were sorted into three main groups: roadway improvements, transit improvements, and related improvements that could be combined with other concepts. Based on the stakeholder suggestions, each of the three groups was expanded to include distinct categories of concepts (example: add general purpose lanes to I-290). After reviewing the comments and suggestions from stakeholders, 33 concept categories emerged to which each suggestion or comment was assigned. A pre-screening of the 33 concept categories was performed to determine the concepts that would be carried forward into Round 1, not carried forward for further consideration, or deferred to a future round of evaluation. Table 1-1 summarizes the results of the pre-screening process. The sections that follow provide the general functional description of each concept and the pre-screening finding.

			Concept Disposition			
Con	cept Categories	Carried Forward	Not Carried Forward	Deferred to Subsequent Rounds		
Road	way Improvements					
A1.	Add general purpose lanes to I-290	✓				
A2.	Add a high-occupancy vehicle (HOV) lanes to I-290	✓				
A3.	Add a high-occupancy toll (HOT) lane in each direction	\checkmark				
A4.	Toll I-290 lanes	\checkmark				
A5.	Arterial Widening	\checkmark				
Tran	sit Improvements					
B1.	Extend CTA Blue Line to O'Hare Airport		\checkmark			
B2.	Extend CTA Blue Line west	✓				
B3.	Extend CTA Blue Line west via Illinois Prairie Path	\checkmark				
B4.	Add CTA Blue Line express service			\checkmark		
B5.	Extend CTA Green Line to Maywood		\checkmark			
B6.	Add BRT via Prairie Path	\checkmark				
B7.	Add BRT along I-290	✓				
B8.	Add BRT along east-west arterials		✓			
B9.	Improve existing commuter rail		√			
B10.	New commuter rail service		\checkmark			
B11.	Convert the existing CTA Blue Line to BRT	\checkmark				
B12.	Remove the existing CTA Blue Line		✓			

Table 1-1. Summary of Pre-Screening Findings

I-290 Alternatives Identification and Evaluation November 2011

	Cond	ept Dispo	sition
Concept Categories	Carried Forward	Not Carried Forward	Deferred to Subsequent Rounds
B13. Add High Speed Rail		\checkmark	
B14. Add Inner Circumferential Commuter Rail		✓	
B15. Express Bus	✓		
B16. Add Automated Guideway Transit		\checkmark	
B17. Add Light Rail Transit		✓	
Related Improvements (that can be combined with other of	concepts)		
C1. Add express bus service within the project area			\checkmark
C2. Interchange improvements and design			\checkmark
C3. Improve non-motorized facilities			\checkmark
C4. Improve transit stations			\checkmark
C5. Improve transit operations/connections			\checkmark
C6. Add Transportation System Management /Active Traffic Management/Intelligent Transportation Systems			~
C7. Add a cap over the expressway			✓
C8. Double-deck I-290		✓	
C9. CTA Blue Line in Subway/Tunnel or Elevated			✓
C10. Arterial Improvements			\checkmark
C11. Other			✓
Pre Screening Category Totals	11	11	11

A Roadway Improvements

A1. Add general purpose lanes to I-290

General Functional Description

This concept would add capacity to I-290 as general purpose travel lanes. This category includes concepts with additions of one or more lanes in each direction along I-290.

Pre-Screen Finding: CARRIED FORWARD

A single add lane concept is being carried forward for evaluation in Round 1 screening evaluation because adding capacity along I-290 would serve the identified east-west travel market and improve facility conditions in the project area. Adding one lane in each direction through the study area was carried forward for evaluation since it would provide a consistent eight-lane section between I-88 and downtown Chicago. Due to right-of-way (ROW) constraints in this urban corridor, a 10-lane section was not carried forward into Round 1. A map representing this concept is provided in Appendix C.

 [GP LANE] General Purpose Add Lane From I-88 to Central Avenue along I-290 (Add 4th lane each direction)

A2. Add high-occupancy vehicle (HOV) lanes to I-290

General Functional Description

High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) lanes restrict the use of a travel lane to vehicles that meet the required occupancy requirements (typically two or more people per vehicle including the driver). This category includes all concepts that add HOV lanes to 1-290 in the study area with either 2+ or 3+ configurations and several beginning- and end-point suggestions.

Pre-Screen Finding: CARRIED FORWARD

Six different variations of the HOV concept are being carried forward into the Round 1 screening process to capture several different termini and operating parameters. Maps representing these alternatives are also shown in Appendix C.

- [HOV 2L] HOV 2+ From I-88 to Racine Avenue along I-290
- ♦ [HOV 2W] HOV 2+ From Oak Brook (IL 83) along I-88 and I-290 to Central Avenue
- [HOV 2LL] HOV 2+ Oak Brook (IL 83) along I-88 and I-290 to Racine Avenue
- [HOV 3L] HOV 3+ I-88 to Racine Avenue
- ♦ [HOV 3W] HOV 3+ Oak Brook (IL 83) along I-88 and I-290 to Central Avenue
- [HOV 3LL] HOV 3+ Oak Brook (IL 83) along I-88 and I-290 to Racine Avenue

A3. Add a high-occupancy toll (HOT) lane in each direction

General Functional Description

HOT lanes allow higher occupant vehicles to access a toll lane without paying a toll, while all other vehicles using the HOT lane are required to pay a toll. This concept adds HOT lanes along I-290.

Pre-Screen Finding: CARRIED FORWARD

This concept is being carried forward to the Round 1 screening for further evaluation, with 3+ person vehicles allowed to use the HOT lane without paying a toll, and all other vehicles paying a toll to use the HOT lane. Two variations on termini will be evaluated to identify the effects of the different termini. Maps representing these alternatives are provided in Appendix C:

- [HOT 1] HOT 3+ from Oak Brook (IL 83) along I-88 and I-290 to Central Avenue (\$1.00 Toll for 11 miles)
- [HOT 2] HOT 3+ from Oak Brook (IL 83) along I-88 and I-290 to Racine Avenue (\$1.50 Toll for 16.5 miles)

A4. Tolling of I-290

General Functional Description

Tolling concepts include flat or variable tolling of all vehicles in existing lanes and/or new lanes along I-290 in the study area. Variable tolling changes the cost of travel depending on the time of day or the level of congestion on the roadway. A flat toll keeps the cost of travel the same, regardless of the time of day.

Pre-Screen Finding: CARRIED FORWARD

Two tolling concepts are being carried forward for evaluation in Round 1. The two variations on tolling include tolling the existing lanes only, and adding an additional lane in each direction and tolling all lanes. Maps representing these alternatives are provided in Appendix C:

- [TOLL 1] Toll Existing I-290 Lanes from I-88 to Cicero Avenue
- [TOLL 2] Toll I-290 with an Add Lane in each direction between I-88 and Cicero Avenue

A5. Arterial Widening

General Functional Description

This concept would expand Roosevelt Road and Madison Avenue to four continuous through lanes (2 lanes each direction). Roosevelt Road would be expanded between I-294 and Cicero Avenue; Madison Avenue would be expanded between 25th Avenue and Cicero Avenue.

Pre-Screen Finding: CARRIED FORWARD

This concept is being carried forward into the Round 1 evaluation

[ART 1 & 2] Widening of Roosevelt Road and Madison Avenue to 4 continuous through lanes (2 lanes each direction). Roosevelt Road from I-294 to Cicero Avenue and Madison Avenue from 25th Avenue to Cicero Avenue.

B Transit Improvements

B1. Extend CTA Blue Line to O'Hare Airport

General Functional Description

This concept would extend the existing Chicago Transit Authority (CTA) Blue Line to O'Hare airport from the existing CTA Forest Park station. The extension would follow the Canadian National (CN) railroad line and create a Blue Line loop.

Pre-Screen Finding: NOT CARRIED FORWARD

This concept is not carried forward for further consideration in the I-290 Study because it would not serve the identified east-west travel market.

B2. Extend CTA Blue Line west

General Functional Description

This category includes concepts that would extend the CTA Blue Line from the existing Forest Park station to points further west of Forest Park along I-290. This sub-category includes all suggestions to extend the Blue Line along I-290. These concepts also include enhancements to existing local bus service to provide feeder service to the proposed new CTA stations and park-and-ride sites for the new stations.

Several distinct termini locations for a Blue Line extension from the Forest Park CTA terminal were suggested, which are shown in Appendix C.

Pre-Screen Finding: CARRIED FORWARD

Two versions of the Blue Line extension are being carried forward into the Round 1 evaluation because they extend existing high capacity transit service and serve the identified east-west traditional and reverse commute travel markets in this corridor. Two of the five versions of Blue Line extension are being carried forward into Round 1 to evaluate performance sensitivity related to extension length. Maps representing these alternatives are provided in Appendix C:

- [HRT 2] Blue Line extension from Forest Park to Oak Brook (IL 83) in the I-290 median (at grade), and parallel to I-88 (elevated)
- [HRT 3] Blue Line extension from Forest Park to Mannheim in the I-290 median (at grade)

B3. Extend CTA Blue Line west via Illinois Prairie Path

General Functional Description

This category includes concepts that would extend the CTA Blue Line west from the existing Forest Park station to points west using the existing Illinois Prairie Path alignment. The concepts include enhancements to existing local bus service to provide feeder service to the new CTA stations.

Stakeholders suggested several different variations of the Blue Line extension via Illinois Prairie Path, which are shown in the Appendix C.

Pre-Screen Finding: CARRIED FORWARD

A Blue Line extension via Illinois Prairie Path is being carried forward for evaluation in Round 1 because this would extend high capacity transit service and serve the identified east-west traditional and reverse commute travel markets in this corridor. Maps representing these alternatives are provided in Appendix C:

 [HRT 1] Blue Line extension along the Illinois Prairie Path, Butterfield Road, and 22nd Street (elevated) from Forest Park to Oak Brook (IL 83)

B4. Add CTA Blue Line express service

General Functional Description

This concept would add new express rapid transit service along the existing Blue Line between downtown Chicago and western portions of the study area with limited stops in between.

Pre-Screen Finding: DEFERRED

This concept is being deferred for consideration as part of alternatives in future evaluations.

B5. Extend CTA Green Line to Maywood

General Functional Description

This concept would extend the CTA Green Line to Maywood along the Union Pacific west rail line.

Pre-Screen Finding: NOT CARRIED FORWARD

This concept is not being carried forward for further consideration because it would duplicate existing Metra UP-W service that serves Maywood and it would not directly address the need to serve the identified east-west travel market along the I-290 corridor.

B6. Add BRT via Prairie Path

General Functional Description

This concept would create a dedicated bus rapid transit (BRT) facility along the Illinois Prairie Path (IPP) to points further west. The BRT system would connect the Forest Park CTA Terminal to Oakbrook following IPP and Butterfield Road.

Pre-Screen Finding: CARRIED FORWARD

This concept is being carried forward to the Round 1 evaluation because it would serve the identified east-west traditional and reverse commute travel markets in this corridor. The concept includes enhancements to existing local bus service to provide feeder service to the proposed new CTA BRT stations. A map representing this alternative is provided in Appendix C:

 [BRT 1] Oak Brook to Forest Park CTA Terminal - via Butterfield Road and IL Prairie Path

B7. Add BRT along I-290

General Functional Description

This concept group includes suggestions to create a dedicated bus rapid transit (BRT) facility along I-290. The BRT would connect the Forest Park CTA Terminal to points west following I-290. This sub-category also includes suggestions from the Cook-DuPage Corridor Study (e.g. the J-line).

Pre-Screen Finding: CARRIED FORWARD

Three BRT concepts were carried forward into the Round 1 evaluation because this transit mode serves the identified east-west traditional and reverse commute travel markets in this corridor. Three variations of BRT via I-290 are being carried forward for initial single mode evaluation in Round 1. These concepts include enhancements to existing local bus service to provide feeder service to new BRT stations. Maps representing these alternatives are provided in Appendix C:

- [BRT 2] Oak Brook to Forest Park CTA Terminal parallel to I-88 (elevated) and I-290 median (at-grade)
- [BRT 3] Oak Brook to Cicero Avenue Parallel to I-88 (elevated) and I-290 median (at-grade)
- [BRT 5] Lombard to Forest Park CTA Terminal parallel to I-88 (elevated) and along I-290 median (at-grade)

B8. Add BRT along east-west arterials

General Functional Description

This concept adds bus rapid transit (BRT) service to east-west arterials in the study area.

Pre-Screen Finding: NOT CARRIED FORWARD

This concept is not being carried forward since arterial BRT has already been included in the background bus improvements assumed for the 2040 travel demand model.

B9. Existing Commuter Rail Improvements

General Function Description

This category includes concepts for station improvements, station consolidation, and additional capacity improvements along the existing commuter rail lines in the study area.

Pre-Screen Findings: NOT CARRIED FORWARD

These concepts are not being carried forward in the I-290 study since the majority of the commuter rail improvements are included as committed projects and are in the 2040 baseline network, or are being studied by Metra as part of their UP-West Line Alternative Analysis study.

B10. New Commuter Rail Service

General Function Description

This concept includes a new commuter rail line within the I-290 corridor, along either the CN and CSX rights-of-way.

Pre-Screen Findings: NOT CARRIED FORWARD

This concept is not being carried forward for further consideration in the I-290 Study because it would not serve the identified east-west travel market in the case of the CN routing, and because it duplicates service already provided by the CTA Blue Line in the case of the CSX routing. Also, several other existing proposals by area transit providers, including the Inner Circumferential Commuter Rail and the Mid-City Transitway, would connect O'Hare and Midway airports (see Section B14 of this document).

B11. Convert the existing CTA Blue line to BRT and extend to west

General Functional Description

This concept would convert the existing CTA Blue Line between the Ashland Avenue CTA station and Forest Park CTA station with BRT service and would extend BRT service west of the Forest Park station along the I-290 corridor.

Pre-Screen Finding: CARRIED FORWARD

The concept to convert the existing Blue Line to BRT and extend to the west is being carried forward to the Round 1 screening for further evaluation. A map representing this alternative is provided in Appendix C:

[BRT 4] Oak Brook to Ashland Avenue – parallel to I-88 (elevated) along I-290 median (at-grade), convert existing CTA ROW to BRT from Forest Park to Ashland Avenue.

B12. Remove the existing Blue line

General Functional Description

This concept would remove the existing CTA Blue Line to the west of the medical center (Ashland Avenue) and build a new transfer station for Illinois Medical District (IMD) and access to yard and shop at 54th Street.

Pre-Screen Finding: NOT CARRIED FORWARD

The concept to remove the Blue Line without replacement of any other transit service is not being carried forward because it would remove existing service to east-west transit markets.

B13. Add High Speed Rail

General Functional Description

This concept would add high-speed commuter rail to the I-290 corridor. High speed rail is generally defined to be commuter rail service that runs on grade-separated ROW connecting long-distance destinations at speeds in excess of 100 mph.

Pre-Screen Finding: NOT CARRIED FORWARD

This concept is not being carried forward for further consideration because high-speed rail is intended to serve long distance inter-city travel markets, and is not suited for this corridor and the identified urban/suburban markets the project needs to serve.

B14. Add Inner Circumferential Commuter Rail

General Functional Description

The Inner Circumferential Rail Line (ICR) would provide commuter rail service along the Indiana Harbor Belt Line Railway from O'Hare airport to Midway airport.

Pre-Screen Finding: NOT CARRIED FORWARD

This concept is not being carried forward for further consideration in the I-290 Study because ICR proposal focuses on providing service for the north-south travel markets of O'Hare and Midway airport, and would not serve the identified east-west travel market along the I-290 corridor. A feasibility study has been completed for this concept, and the project is listed in the CMAP Go To 2040 regional plan, but is not included as a financially-constrained project.

B15. Express Bus

General Functional Description

This concept includes various express bus services in the study area, along I-290 from DuPage and northwest Cook counties to and from the Forest Park CTA terminal. Buses would run on the I-290 shoulder, in existing lanes, or in a managed lane.

Pre-Screen Finding: CONSIDERED

An express bus concept is being carried forward to the Round 1 screening for further evaluation because it would serve the identified east-west traditional and reverse commute travel markets in this corridor and is compatible with existing transportation services. A map representing this alternative is provided in Appendix C:

✤ [EXP] Express Bus to Forest Park CTA

B16. Add Automated Guideway Transit

General Functional Description

This concept would add an automated guideway transit (AGT) within the I-290 project area. AGT is an automated (driverless) transit system that runs on a fixed guideway.

Pre-Screen Finding: NOT CARRIED FORWARD

This concept is not being carried forward for further consideration because AGT primarily serves as circulator and distributor of travelers and it generally has very closely-spaced stations. It does not provide commuter service with station spacing of one mile or more.

B17. Add Light Rail Transit

General Functional Description

This concept would add a light rail transit (LRT) line within the I-290 project area. LRT are steel-wheeled electric vehicles that may operate in mixed traffic or in a dedicated right-of-way.

Pre-Screen Finding: NOT CARRIED FORWARD

This concept is not being carried forward for further consideration because Heavy Rail Transit (HRT) already exists in the study area. It would be more effective to extend the existing HRT rather than add a new rail mode that requires all new vehicles, a transfer at the Forest Park station, and a new maintenance and yard facility.

C Related Improvements (that could be combined with other concepts)

The related improvements category includes suggestions that are compatible with other concepts. All of the concepts in this category were deferred to future rounds of evaluation since Round 1 focuses on evaluating single mode alternatives.

C1. Add express bus service within the project area

General Functional Description

This concept would add local express bus service within the project area.

Pre-Screen Finding: DEFERRED

This concept is being deferred for consideration as part of alternatives in subsequent evaluations.

C2. Interchange improvements and design

General Functional Description

This concept would involve the redesign of and improvements to existing interchanges within the project area. This category includes suggestions to reconfigure left-hand exits to right-hand exits, and right-hand exits to left-hand exits. This category also includes suggestions for roundabouts in the project area.

Pre-Screen Finding: DEFERRED

This concept is being deferred for consideration as part of alternatives in subsequent evaluations.

C3. Improve non-motorized facilities

General Functional Description

This category includes concepts that improve non-motorized facilities. Concepts include improvements to bicycle and pedestrian facilities along cross roads, adjacent arterials, and frontage roads, new non-motorized crossings of I-290, and bike and pedestrian trails along I-290.

Pre-Screen Finding: DEFERRED

This concept is being deferred for consideration as part of alternatives in subsequent evaluations.

C4. Improve transit stations

General Functional Description

This concept would improve the existing CTA Blue Line stations within the project area.

Pre-Screen Finding: DEFERRED

This concept is being deferred for consideration as part of alternatives in subsequent evaluations.

C5. Improve transit operations/connections

General Functional Description

This category includes concepts that would improve local transit bus operations within the project area by adding additional routes, improving transit service, and/or improving transit connections.

Pre-Screen Finding: DEFERRED

This concept is being deferred for consideration as part of alternatives in subsequent evaluations.

C6. Add Transportation System Management /Active Traffic Management/ Intelligent Transportation Systems

General Functional Description

This concept includes Active Traffic Management (ATM), Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS), and Transportation System Management (TSM) upgrades on both the I-290 mainline and adjacent arterials. This category also includes signage improvements along the corridor or on adjacent arterials. The specific design of these systems has not yet been defined.

Pre-Screen Finding: DEFERRED

This concept is being deferred for consideration as part of alternatives in subsequent evaluations.

C7. Add a cap over the expressway

General Functional Description

This concept would place a cap or cover over the I-290 facility in the project area.

Pre-Screen Finding: DEFERRED

This concept is being deferred for consideration as part of alternatives in subsequent evaluations.

C8. Double-deck I-290

General Functional Description

These suggestions are variations on the theme of building a two-level structure to carry I-290 traffic through the study area.

Pre-Screen Finding: NOT CARRIED FORWARD

Because existing I-290 is below grade with cross-streets approximately 20' above the expressway, a double-deck facility throughout the length of the study area would create a third roadway level approximately 50-55 feet above the existing I-290. Due to the noise, lighting and aesthetic impacts of an elevated facility, as well as increased construction and maintenance costs, this concept is not being carried forward for further consideration.

C9. CTA Blue Line in Subway/Tunnel or Elevated

General Functional Description

This concept would relocate the existing or proposed extensions of the Blue Line underground as a subway or to elevated structure.

Pre-Screen Finding: DEFERRED

This concept is being deferred for consideration as part of alternatives in subsequent evaluations.

C10. Arterial Improvements

General Functional Description

This category includes concepts that would improve arterial operations, including but not limited to improvements to the pavement, traffic flow and light synchronization on the two principal alternate parallel routes, Roosevelt Avenue and Madison Street.

Pre-Screen Finding: DEFERRED

This concept is being deferred for consideration as part of alternatives in subsequent evaluations.

C11. Other

General Functional Description

This category includes other suggestions that are location-specific and can be combined with other roadway or transit improvements. For example, park and ride and intermodal transfer facilities are included in this sub-category.

Pre-Screen Finding: DEFERRED

These concepts are being deferred for consideration as part of alternatives in subsequent evaluations.

2. Concepts Carried Forward to Round 1

A total of 21 concepts identified in Sections B and C of this appendix are being carried forward into the Round 1 evaluation as single mode alternatives. These 21 single mode alternatives can be further broken down into transit improvements, expressway improvements and arterial improvements and are summarized in the tables shown below. In addition to these single mode alternatives, 11 other categories of related improvements, as identified in Section C of this appendix, are being deferred to future screening rounds (i.e. Rounds 2 or 3).

MODE	ID	Description
	HRT 1	From Forest Park CTA Terminal to Oak Brook via IL Prairie Path, Butterfield Road., and 22 nd Street (elevated) from Forest Park CTA
Blue Line Extension (HRT)	HRT 2	Terminal to Oak Brook via I-290 median (at-grade) and parallel to I-88 (elevated)
	HRT 3	From Forest Park CTA Terminal to Mannheim via I-290 median (at-grade)
Express Bus	EXP	Various service from DuPage and northwest Cook counties to the Forest Park CTA terminal
	BRT 1	Oak Brook to Forest Park CTA Terminal - via Butterfield Road and IL Prairie Path
	BRT 2	Oak Brook to Forest Park CTA Terminal – parallel to I-88 (eleveated) and I-290 median (at-grade)
Bus Rapid Transit (BRT)	BRT 3	Oak Brook to Cicero Avenue – parallel to I-88 (elevated) and I-290 median (at-grade)
	BRT 4	Oak Brook to Ashland Avenue – parallel to I-88 and along I-290 median (at-grade) – CTA Blue Line conversion to BRT from Forest Park CTA terminal to Ashland Avenue
	BRT 5	Lombard to Forest Park CTA Terminal – parallel to I-88 (elevated) and along I-290 median (at-grade)

Transit Mode Alternatives (9 total)

Expressway Mode Alternatives (11 total)

General Purpose		GP LANE	General Purpose Add Lane from I-88 to Central Avenue			
		rs		HOV 2LL	Oak Brook to Racine Avenue	
		Riders		HOV 2L	I-88 to Racine Avenue	
	HOV*	2+	HOV	HOV 2W	Oak Brook to Central Avenue	
es	Lanes	irs	Riders	HOV 3LL	Oak Brook to Racine Avenue	
Lanes		Ride		HOV 3L	I-88 to Racine Avenue	
Managed		3+	HOV	HOV 3W	Oak Brook to Central Avenue	
lana	HOT Lanes*	НОТ		E ATA	HOT 1	Oak Brook to Central Avenue, 3+ Vehicles Free
2			Нот	HOT 2	Oak Brook to Racine Avenue, 3+ Vehicles Free	
	Talllana	A		TOLL 1	Toll Existing I-290 Lanes, I-88 to Cicero Avenue	
	Toll Lanes		TOL	TOLL 2	Toll I-290 with Add Lanes , I-88 to Cicero Avenue	

*Both the HOV and HOT alternatives assume that two existing general purpose lanes (one in each direction) would be converted to HOV/HOT lanes along I-88, and along I-290 from Central Avenue to Racine Avenue. Along I-290 from the I-88/290 split to Central Avenue, two new HOT/HOV lanes (one in each direction) are added to the existing lanes.

Arterial Mode Alternative (1 total with 2 variations)

Arterial	With Parking	ART 1	Widening of Roosevelt Road and Madison Avenue to 4 continuous lanes (2 lanes each direction).
Widening	Without Parking	ART 2	 Roosevelt Road from I-294 to Cicero Avenue Madison Avenue from 25th Avenue to Cicero Avenue

ID #	Proposed Alternative Comment	Disposition
1	I sent this comment in an email as well: I travel from downtown to Oak Brook and back daily M-Th, and have a suggestion for improving the westbound flow of traffic. It seems to me that much of the congestion before and after Austin Blvd. could be avoided if there were simply more signs earlier indicating that the left lane will become an exit-only lane. Obviously removing the left hand exits altogether would be the ultimate fix, but I believe that additional signs would allow a high percentage of drivers to move out of the left lane earlier than they normally would, i.e. before they have to come to a complete stop on the ramp and cut off people in the adjacent lane thereby causing a major slowdown.	C6
2	At Harlem Ave and Austin Blvd, please strongly consider replacing the center lane entrances/exits with traditional right lane entrances/exits. It seems that merging vehicles and exiting vehicles travel more slowly than typical center lane traffic. This results in more frequent lane changing or weaving by those trying to maintain the higher rate of speed typically found in the center lane.	C2
3	Reconstructing Eisenhower Expressway - main cause: Having driven this for years, I agree that 8 lanes to 6 lanes is a problem. My thought is that Oak Park with its lane 1 merges is also a major cause of the problems when combined with too many merges in too short a distance. Most drivers in this area have difficulty with merges to the inside lane. A more standard merge system in this area would be merges and exits to and from the outside lane #3 only. This may help the congestion also. It seems traffic mostly flows smoothly till Oak Park from either direction, then the problems start.	C2
4	I take I-290 daily and it is miserable. It is obvious new lanes are needed. HOV lanes would be a benefit also. I am happy to help any way I can.	A1, A2
5	Widening the Eisenhower seems like a smart and important move for IDOT. Has IDOT explored additional ideas? I-66 in Northern Virginia allows HOV traffic to use the right shoulders during rush periods. This adds capacity for merely the cost of lane markers and signs. I also support the extension of the Blue Line to Yorktown Mall, if it is run as an express service to downtown. The Eisenhower median provides enough room for 4 tracks - this opportunity should be explored. Also, the new line should, if possible, use the Illinois Prairie Path right-of-way between Forest Park and I-294.	A1, A2, B2, B3, B10,
6	The Eisenhower between Mannheim and Austin needs to be fixed. This has always been a problem. Make this section a 2 level road. This must be fixed ASAP!	C8
7	Need express lanes directly out to I-88.	A2, A3
8	You may want to consider thinking in three dimensions lanes can be added vertically, as well as horizontally. Instead of taking away land from neighborhoods, express lanes (no trucks, destination downtown and Manheim) can be constructed on an elevated portion, with structure in the center median.	C8
9	Eisenhower needs to add a lane in both directions from Austin to Mannheim to ease congestion. Need to move Austin Blvd. and Harlem Avenue ramps from center of expressway to sides. Plus when the lke gets backed up everyone uses Roosevelt Rd. and our side streets become busy because were the 1st southbound St. from Central Avenue.	A1, A5, C2, C10
10	Please consider work of the DuPage/Cook Corridor committee. Widening the ditch is not smart in light of the carbon economy that is coming in the near future. Augmenting public transit with extension of CTA Blue Line (light rail) BRT is a smarter long term strategy. Revitalization of the old inner ring suburbs with public transit (illegible word) design will help with the (illegible word) along the corridor. Land acquisition along the corridor will be costly. *Disrupt.	B2, B7, B14, B17
11	Please consider alternative route exiting I-290 to 25th through Wedgewood then to Beach. When I-290 is backed up people exit and block commercial building from entering or leaving. Cars doesn't help local commerce. Taxes in Broadview are outrageous. Many tenants will be willing to sell their building if the taxes don't improve.	C2
12	Encourage metro commuter usage by providing quick, safe, convenient, high speed rail from key West node of I-290/I-294/I-88 to downtown at abandoned U.S. Post Office Building in the Southwest Chicago loop.	B13
13	Considering trends in future oil prices and the need to cut carbon emissions the best plan is to look to the future and figure out how to get people out of their cars. Enhanced public transit via a blue-line extension makes more sense than adding lanes for increased vehicular traffic. Please consider the input of regular citizens, especially those living near the expressway.	B2
14	Please also work with the CTA to extend the blue line. The blue line should be extended as far west as possible (Aurora-wishful thinking). Due to limited land you might consider an underground subway city like New York. There would be less car congestion if a railway system was extended. There should be 5 or 4 lanes on each side, preferably 5. Right now, the Hillside strangler is congested because there is too much traffic from I-88, 290 (West), Roosevelt Rd, and Mannheim Road. Please look at Detroit's expressway system, they have 5 lanes on each side. If Detroit can do it then Illinois can do it too. Please get rid of the ramps on the center of the expressway, they should all be on the right side. Sign should be in big fonts and highly visible before the exits so people don't switch lanes at the last minute. Another idea is to look at Germany's expressway system. They control the flow of traffic electronically. They close and open lanes based on traffic.	A1, B2, C2, C6, C9, C11
15	Currently, I-290 is a four-lane roadway (both inbound and outbound) from downtown Chicago to Austin Blvd. During times of heavy traffic, it is typical for outbound traffic to become congested at this choke point and back up for miles. We need to add a lane to both sides of the expressway, and especially on the outbound side.	A1
16	25th Street ramps cause tremendous back ups not only on the Eisenhower (East Bound) but cause congestion under the train tracks along Beach St. all the way to 25th. Business locations along Beach have trouble exiting onto Beach at times because of the way the ramp is located so far from 25th street. Back ups also occur on the Eisenhower because of the stop sign at the end of the ramp. Trucks use 25th because they missed the Mannheim exit coming from 88 East Bound.	C2, C10
17	Thank you for holding this meeting but: By holding this meeting in an area not easily accessible by public transportation you are skewing the results greatly. Extension of the blue line at least to Mannheim, preferably to Oak Brook, would eliminate enough automobile traffic to, very likely, eliminate the need for expansion. This is the least disruptive, possibly least expensive because no land would need to be purchased, option. Try it first! If it doesn't solve all the problems, expansion can always be considered later. Our kids want expansion of public transportation.	В2
18	Don't stop blue line until you reach the internetion of I-290, I-88, I-294. Add High speed rail for commuters. Conduct study that determines how much time.	B2, B13
19	The road obviously needs to be widened but the state should not neglect the available land that exists in the current footprint of the CTA rails. Turning the rail into subway and widening the road into currently owned land is a financially responsible decision. Also, new expressway should be designed with the capability to be come "double-deck" in the future as capacity needs increase.	A1, B2, C8, C9

ID #	Proposed Alternative Comment	Disposition
20	Extend the Blue Line and don't widen the Ike! We spent \$140 million on the "Hillside Strangler" and did not reduce travel time at all. Widening the Ike would be a colossal waste of money.	B2
21	To add lanes w/out widening the trench, more. CTA tracks under ground! Make it a subway, like much of it already. Make it toll with congestion pricing.	A4, C9
22	A possibility would be eliminating Harrison, or as previously considered, use the CTA's "right of way" lanes to add a lane. Stop patching the pavement and rebuild it! I'm concerned that, due to funding or politics, that this study will not get past Phase I and then it will start all over again in another 5 years.	A1, C10
23	The loss of property to Columbus Park is minimal. Must importantly is that both East and West bound traffic with entrance and exits be retained at Central and Eisenhower. 2010 parishioners exit W. I-290.	C2
24	Please consider going to Congress Washington D.C. to inquire the feasibility of moving the R.R. in Forest Park on the Southside of the expressway. Or can the El train be truly elevated above and in-between the directions of the expressway.	С9
25	A subway dug by the deep tunnel machines could help obtain the space now taken up by the above ground train. Express trains from Oak Brook area to downtown on the hour would make it more appealing. This would greatly reduce the land acquisition needed and could start moving people into mass transit.	B4, C9
26	Extending the CTA (Blue Line) toward the west suburbs will give alternatives to commuters both to Chicago or to the west shopping centers. Yes to the Oak Park Cap.	A1, C11
27	 We must think long-term on this. 2. We must extend rail transit along the corridor above all else. 3. We must discourage automobile commuting. 4. HOV lanes will not Work You need 7 lanes each way and still more will drive. 5. Widen the highway and still more will drive. 6. Make the CTA to Hillside or Oak Brook run express to the Loop and suburbanites will take it and especially every-day commuters. 	B2, B4
28	If lanes are added, more people will drive downtown and back fill them up, just as happened after the "Hillside Strangler" was fixed. We must encourage more people to take public transit, not drive. Extend the CTA. Don't add lanes to to Ike. Find a spot in the trench for a bicycle route. Add parking near Metra stops. Get more cars off the roads, for the sake of our planet. And convince me this whole process isn't a sham.	B2, C3, C11
29	No Cap of Ike through Oak ParkEstimated to cost billions we don't have. Acquire CN Railroad property as needed from Central to DesPlaines.	C11
30	Thank you for soliciting public input on this issue. Also, thank you for following the context sensitive solution decision process for this study. Briefly my concerns are as follow. The Blue Line stations in the study area all need 100% repair to improve access and usage. Include BRT as a solution option. Move the Oak Park ramps to the outer lanes. All the bridges in Oak Park over I-290 need 100% replacement to improve safety, access, and traffic flow. Support the decision of the I-290 ditch between Austin Avenue, and Harlem AvenueCap the Ike!	B7, C2, C5, C7
31	I live six houses south of Garfield near I-290. I oppose the expansion on I-290 to add lanes. This would completely disrupt our neighborhood and negatively affect property values, encouraging increased traffic is a step backwards for the environment expansion of public transportation should be considered instead.	B2
32	Couple of Points: 1. EB ramps (left) from 290 to Harlem and WB ramp (right) from 290 have green light at the same time making it very unsafe. Should have separate phasing. 2. Too much traffic on Harlem Avenue (North leg from 290). Need improvements on Harlem. 3. Left ramps should be taken out along I-290. Temporary fix could be to provide warning signs on I-290 explaining that next ramp is on left side.	C2, C6, C10, C11
33	Concerns with the possible annex of Community for roadway expansion? Need more noise abatement (sound barrier between Laramie and Central. Also concerned with excessive traffic on Flournoy when traffic exit at Laramie travel down Flournoy to advance around traffic and Central. Excessive speed-trucks etc.)	C6, C10
34	Comment Form 1: 1. Retain interior ramps at Harlem and Austin! 2. Minimize taking of land/existing properties! 3. Recognize that additional lanes will not ever be large enough to accommodate traffic growth in area. 4. Forget HOV lanes- won't be used 5. Expand rail service and systems Comment Form 2: 1. Retain interior exists at Harlem and Austin! 2. Publicly identify potentially affected properties.	B2, C2
35	What is the impact on the neighbors of highway? No need for additional lanes. Spend \$ on public transit instead, look at regional transit studies, fund 3rd phase of RTA study, no need for more lanes.	B2, C2
36	DO NOT WIDEN THE HIGHWAY. BUILD MORE RAILLINES FOR METRA, CTA, AND FREIGHT. DO NOT BUILD A CAP.	B2, B10
37	What about using managed lanes to increase the throughput. Will Need a combination of alternates (roadway, rail, and transit) to meet long term needs.	A2, A3, B2
38	I would like to express my concerns about the upcoming 1-290 rehabilitation/expansion. understand that the roadway is in desperate need of repair. And while 1 am a proponent of increasing public transportation options in order to decrease congestion, I am not deluded enough to think that there will be no expansion of 1-290 from Austin Blvd to Mannheim Road. ram however concerned how this will effect my family and home because of my proximity to the current roadway. Any expansion that moves me from my house is unacceptable. I would 1 ike to see a plan that takes a number of issues into account. First, not to be so shortsighted as to think that only roadway xpansion or increased public transportation optiOns will be the answer to local traffic congestion. A concerted effort needs to be made to include expansion of both in order to be successful of expansion. Second, I am of the opinion that there is much wasted space in the current configuration that, with the proper planning, could be used to add lanes to 1-290 and increase public transportation. Case in point: the freight train tracks next to the CTA lines at Oak Park avenue have been used as a storage for an empty container train. This train has been in the same place since April, 2009. If this line is so unneeded, then the hree sets of tracks there can be condensed. Third, I feel that the CTA Blue Line is an essential part of the area and it needs to be rehabilitated. The Austin, Oak Park, and Harlem stops are a disgrace to the area and Regional Transit Authority. I have only seen this much rusting corrugated steel in third-world shanty towns. The Blue Line has the ability to go underground, as it does through downtown and at other points along the line as it travels to O'Hare. 1 do not see a reason why the Blue Line cannot operate under a section of I-290 through Oak Park in order to make room for additional lanes of traffic without expanding the size of the current "canyon" that divides my town. Finally, I \vould hope that covering, or capping, parts of 1-2	A1, B2, C5, C7, C9

ID #	Proposed Alternative Comment	Disposition
39	I am writing on behalf of myself and the many concerned citizens of the area potentially impacted by proposed expansion of the 1-290 corridor between Austin Avenue and Mannheim Road. We strongly oppose plans to expand this corridor with additional lanes or reconfiguration of exits. I support ONLY the extension of the Blue Line west to Oak Brook, either as a surface line or subway, but not any of the other proposals involving HOV or other added lanes. Only this solution will reduce congestion and offer the residents of the city and these west suburban communities who don't own cars access to the businesses, employment and shopping opportunities of the western suburbs. There are a number of folks out there that believe adding lanes, moving the exits. and other highway-based improvements will solve "the problem." However, such positions reflect a fundamental misunderstanding of the problem and insufficient awareness of alternative solutions. 1-290 was congested in 1959, a mere four years after having opened. Will a short section of added highway capacity make an appreciable difference? The only true solution to congestion in heavily traveled urban highway corridors is to get people out of their cars. In order to do that along 1-290 between Oak Brook and Chicago, there must be a rail extension to the Oak Brook area, perhaps combined with other incentives to encourage mode shift. Models suggest that congestion could be reduced as much as 30% to 40% simply by combining rail transit and increasing the cost of driving. If you keep doin' what you've always been doing, you'll keep gettin' what you've always got: Added highway capacity yields added congestion. If you build it, they will come!	В2
40	As a resident of and the Sustainability Manager for the Village of Oak Park, I am writing to urge IOOT to adopt a regional transit solution, rather than the formerly proposed highway expansion, for the 1-290 corridor between Oak Brook and Chicago, Illinois. There must be a new paradigm for the 1-290 corridor, one in which it is envisioned as a 21 st century high-performance transit corridor that disfavors peak period automobile traffic, reduces congestion by getting people out of their cars, improves air quality, reduces greenhouse gas emissions, and provides for solution permanence. Bus Rapid Transit (extra long buses called "BRr) and carpools (HOV) simply can't do that on 1-290, between Chicago and Oak Brook, a heavily congested urban corridor where population and job densities call for rail solutions. A rail solution is not only appropriate, but the only solution that can achieve permanence and not simply serve as a placeholder for the next major investment to "solve congestion." Sure, we can build our way out of congestion by routinely adding highway lanes on a periodic basis, but how many lanes and at what costs, including economic, social, and environmental considerations for communities along the 1-290 corridor like Oak Park? Ten highway lanes would be required to move the same number of people that a CTA train does, and the CTA train does so with significantly fewer adverse environmental impacts. Where density is appropriate, and it is along the 1-290 study area, rail is truly the only real solution; a placeholder HOV/BRT facility is imprudent where conditions already warrant and would support rail service. Let's create the first high performance transit corridor of the 21 st century, rather than the last great highway project of the 20 th century. The communities along this corridor are confronting the leading edge of a perfect storm fueled by national security concerns, environmental degradation, economic stress, and a federal highway fund that is insufficient to meet current and anticipated highway n	В2
41	Can we have bike lanes? I hope so.	С3
42	Be more visitor friendly if you want more revenue. Give plenty of earning with signage for Austin and Harlem. (Make them larger so people can see them from a distance.)	C6
43	This region needs to develop a managed lane network with high performance bus transit on the expressway and tollway system. This would complement existing (illegible word) transit/commuter rail network. I-290 could be a (illegible word) first element of such a managed/ ok network. We need to diversify our investment in transportation by using highway effectively for cars and transit. prefer this strategy (managed lane) over ideas like the STAR Line/ Circle Line, or other rail line extensions.	A2, A3, B7
44	I would encourage the study team to: A) ease pedestrian access to Blue Line Stations via bridges over the 290. B) Include best technology to reduce noise impact to local community (e.g. road surface, walls, etc.) C) Look at ways to mitigate heavy truck traffic in adjacent communities.	C3, C11
45	NO LANE EXPANSION!!! 1) Adding lanes won't reduce traffic congestion. Illinois spent \$140 million to fix the Hillside Strangler, yet travel time remained virtually unchanged. 2) If we were to build all the lanes traffic engineers say is necessary to "solve" congestion, the Ike [I-290] would be 12-14 lanes wide. Clearly we aren't going to do that, so let's find a better solution BEFORE we expand the Ike [I-290]. 3) More highway lanes means more cars, more noise, more air pollution, property acquisition, and more global warming pollution. 4) Extending the CTA Blue Line to Hillside is a better solution. It would increase mobility, solve congestion problems, and improve our communities.	B2
46	Do not widen the existing profile of the Eisenhower Expressway. Use alternate solutions. More cars, noise, pollution is not the solution. Extending public transit and capping the lke [I-290] are good solutions.	B2, C7
47	Please DO NOT expand the Eisenhower X-way. What we really need are more public transportation optionshow about extending the Blue Line westward, or getting some express buses on I-290?	B2, B15
48	The days of destroying communities to build bigger roads is over. Please think about serving transportation needs in fresh ways that conserve energy. Could the Blue Line be extended westward?	B2
49	More lanes on the Eisenhower means more cars, more noise, more air pollution, property acquisition, and more global warming pollution. Extending the CTA Blue Line to Hillside is a better solution. It would increase mobility, solve congestion problems, and improve our communities.	B2
50	I strongly oppose plans to widen the Eisenhower or otherwise encourage auto traffic. Instead, we must expand public transit. I will be watching this project closely, as will my friends.	B2
51	I do not support the addition of more lanes for the Eisenhower Expressway. I believe adding an extension to the Blue Line CTA is a much better solution on many levels.	B2
52	Increasing public transportation is a better long-term use of funding than expanding the Eisenhower. Adding lanes won't reduce traffic congestion. Illinois spent \$140 million to fix the Hillside Strangler, yet travel time remained virtually unchanged. If we were to build all the lanes traffic engineers say is necessary to "solve" congestion, the Ike [I-290] would be 12-14 lanes wide. Clearly we aren't going to do that, so let's find a better solution BEFORE we expand the Ike [I-290]. More highway lanes means more cars, more noise, more air pollution, property acquisition, and more global warming pollution. Extending the CTA Blue Line to Hillside is a better solution. It would increase mobility, solve congestion problems, and improve our communities.	B2

ID #	Proposed Alternative Comment	Disposition
53	I would like to add my voice to the opposition to any effort to add lanes to the Eisenhower from Cicero Ave to Mannheim Rd. I have driven that route both as a commuter and for personal reasons for many years. I also live in Oak Park. I believe we must offer a Blue Line extension with park & ride options to limit the negative impact of additional traffic lanes. More lanes will translate to more cars and traffic, thereby increasing noise and pollution. In the long-term there will be negative effects in the surrounding neighborhoods where there are lovely homes and trees. Undoubtedly, adding a Blue Line and even a Green Line Extension would be better and cheaper. Offering a park & ride option reduces the already stressed parking situation in Chicago and is less expensive for the commuter. Traffic safety would be assured given that many people tied up in traffic are not attentive - talk on their cell phones and text while driving. Those activities may be safely conducted on the train with no danger to other motorists.	B2, B5, C11
54	What about improving public transportation? And, keeping rider costs low?	C5
55	Regarding the I-290 expansion, I would like to say that no matter what is done to expand the Ike [I-290] to a larger lane format, traffic congestion will continue to build and grow unless a convenient alternative method is devised. Strong consideration toward public rail expansion with possible express trains and use of driving lanes using hybrid alternative fuels, to deter added pollution and unwanted traffic. It has to be part of the deal.	B2, B4
56	Why are you expanding the Eisenhower? Why are you not creating Mass Transit for this cooridor? The North Shore has over 30 miles of Mass Transit available to them and the Westside has 10! Why such a disparity? GO GREEN!! Spend the money on Mass Transit!!!!	B2
57	Please consider a different apporach to traffic in Illinois. If we lengthen the Blue line to Hillside or even to Oak Brook we are saving the environment, reducing traffic (thereby increasing flow) and strengthening our public transportation system. I take the Blue Line everyday from Oak Park to Clinton Stop- I love it! PLease consider that expansion over adding more lanes- remeber the Hillside expansion at mannheim was supposed to reduced traffic flow and its still the same. Lane additions are not the answer! Thank you! Christine Horwitx Oak Park, IL	B2
58	I wish to let the IDOT know that I oppose plans to add capacity to the Eisenhower Expressway. I feel that extending the CTA Blue Line to Hillside and beyond is a better solution. The Chicago area lags behind transportation systems in other major cities, such as Washington D.C. and London. Let's solve a 21st century problem with a 21st century solution.	B2
59	I attended the forum in Hillside but I have to say I have little confidence in your work project. It seems that IDOT could really care less about the users of the system or the neighbors. I drive for a living and am continually reminded of this reality every day. Why is it cheaper to black top than to use hardened cement for roads. The answer is because you put no value on my time. This is unfortunate because your interference with my work days reduces my income potential. I guess that is someone else's problem to a bureaucrat. This reality is only exacerbated by the rolling mid-day work crews. Who cares that it causes accidents and interferes with peoples lives as long as my budget is ok. As far as the lke [I-290], as an Oak Park [resident] I am confident you will take more land, change the exit ramps because it's simple and really who cares what we want? Leave the left hand exit, use a cantilevered structure where there is no dirt to remove, have your extra lane and leave a minimal impact, please.	C2
60	Do not add more car lanes. Add protected bicycle lanes, mass transit, and parking for mass transit. WAKE UP!!!	B2, C3, C11
61	It seems that rather than increase highway capacity, which usually encourages additional automobile traffic, IDOT ought rather to be partnering with other local transportation agencies to create alternative commuting and transit options. The tremendous expense of fixing the Hillside strangler made such a minor impact and only moved the congestion elsewhere. If the CTA Blue Line were extended to Hillside, daily commuter conditions would likely improve more than the impact of adding lanes.	B2
62	Expanding the Ike [I-290] will not solve the problem, that has been proved nationally; build it and they do come. It is time to try some new thinking. We suggest you try alternate forms of transportation. Also a national green alliance will form to defend historic Columbus Park already been scared by roadway expansion, others will protest the taking of any land and the demolition of any residences. It is time for a change.	B2
63	I know not much information is available yet regarding this study, but I would be strongly opposed to widening the Eisenhower, even if the additional lanes will only be HOV lanes. We need to focus as a country on getting people out of their vehicles and giving them alternative forms of public transportation, not encourage continued use of the car by providing a bigger "parking lot." Vehicular congestion will always be an increasing problem if we do not make a shift in our culture and move away from our dependence upon the car. I understand there could be potential to extend the CTA Blue Line to Oak Brook, which I feel would be a much better alternative to widening the Eisenhower. I have also heard about the possibility of high speed bus lanes being proposed in our area, and am wondering if that is also being considered as an option for this project. It is not as ideal as extending the el lines, but I feel it would be a better step than a wholesale widening of the expressway with "carpool" lanes. Regarding the CTA expansion, I know that IDOT does not have any control over what happens with the CTA, but if enough residents and commuters bring this up, I would hope the information could be passed on to the CTA or RTA.	B2, B7
64	I live in Oak Park, and I am opposed to the proposed expansion of the Eisenhower. We do not need more air pollution and noise in our communities and it's time to think of greener alternatives. We learned from the last expansion (Hillside Strangler) that adding more lanes do NOT reduce congestion very much at all. Commute times remain virtually the same after all that money and effort! Extending the Blue Line makes much more sense from an economic and environmental perspective both. It would reduce congestion, be a greener solution, and would improve our communities rather than create even more urban congestion.	B2
65	I was unable to attend the public hearing on expanding the Eisenhower through Oak Park, but want to comment that I find the idea very troubling. We western suburbanites badly need expanded rail transportation options, NOT more highways which primarily benefit the wealthy, and continue the degradation of our local biosphere. Extend the Blue Line, please!	B2
66	Please don't widen the Eisenhower without extending the Blue Line FIRST. Please, do that and observe how much traffic is reduced by this necessary and forward-thinking improvement!	B2
67	Let Illinois show how "green" it can be by finding a solution to the Eisenhower's congestion that IS NOT more congestion. If you make another lane, more cars will come. Add on to the already existing CTA or find low emissions buses for commuters. Making one of the existing lanes each direction could be designated an HOV lane. Think people, THINK.	A2, B2
68	As a long time Oak Park resident, I do not want the additional pollution another lane on the Ike [I-290] would cause. The lane would also not solve the congestion problem. Many studies have shown new lanes just increase traffic, creating more pollution for my family. We need public transportation that would allow movement to the western suburbs.	B2

ID #	Proposed Alternative Comment	Disposition
69	Please do not add additional lanes to I-290. I feel that public transportation by means of extending the Blue Line further west is a much more environmentally-friendly option and we should be encouraging people to take public transportation. I think the additional lanes will only increase traffic and do little to improve commute times.	B2
70	I am particularly concerned about the fact that there is serious consideration about widening the Eisenhower Expressway. There have been numerous studies which suggest that expanded expressways are obsolete before the expansion is completed because of the increased volume of cars. In this economy, we cannot spend money in such a foolish fashion. We expect more of our government. Another very important consideration is that as we as a country are trying to become less reliant on fossil fuels, it makes more sense to spend the money to invest in public transportation options. Besides the obvious "green" considerations, public transportation would clearly help more people save money, find and travel to jobs and such a move would most likely help with the redevelopment of some of the western suburbs that have fallen on hard times and the City of Chicago as well. We do not need government wasting more money on antiquated transportation systems. NO EISENHOWER EXPANSION.	B2
71	I heartily oppose the widening of the Eisenhower Expressway between Cicero Ave and Manheim Rd. Traffic studies have shown that adding lanes to existing highways only attracts more and more cars, with the attendant pollution, congestion, and property acquisition. As a resident of Oak Park, I object very much to the idea that parts of our village would be lost to this kind of property take-over. The Hillside Strangler is an example of how \$140 million was wasted, in order to "fix the problem", but it has not fixed the problem. The congestion just moved down the road. As a resident of Oak Park, I would much rather see the money go for an extension of the CTA Blue Line to Hillside. This would ultimately benefit the economy by increasing our shopping options and it would contribute to a greener world. Let's not waste money again! Please listen to our voices! Don't widen the Ike [I-290]!	B2
72	There is currently an issue on the eastbound side with motorists who use the Mannheim Road exit as an 'express' lane to get back on the Eisenhower further east. This causes an unnecessary merge near 25th Ave and the resulting congestion. It also poses a safety issue as these motorists tend to congest the ramp for motorists who use the ramp for its intended purpose (to exit). Need a pilot study to determine if enforcement of the 'exit only' nature of the ramp eases congestion. If the Roosevelt Rd entrance is closed at rush hour, force all traffic to exit at Mannheim. The other option would be signs on the east bound side warning motorists that they must heed the 'exit only' designation or face a citation.	C2, C6
73	Heading east, right before the Manheim spur, can be a total mess (I'm sure you all know this already, but I'm just saying). It'd be great if you got the 4 lanes going down to more than one under that viaduct. [Darmstad Rd]	C10
74	As someone that uses the Eisenhower regularly and lives within three blocks on the interstate in Oak Park, I want to lodge my opposition to any lane expansion of the Eisenhower. Many studies - and our own experience in the greater Chicago area - have shown that additional lanes do little to ease traffic congestion. The disruption to homes and lives that such an expansion would cause would bring much greater harm than the expansion warrants. I recommend that IDOT look to innovative traffic relief measures that include expanded public transportation through the extension of the CTA's Blue Line to address traffic problems rather than spending scarce resources on additional lanes.	В2
75	As an Oak Park resident, I feel this would have VERY DETRIMENTAL affects to our community and my family's quality of life. Adding lanes won't reduce traffic congestion. Illinois spent \$140 million to fix the Hillside Strangler, yet travel time remained virtually unchanged. If we were to build all the lanes traffic engineers say is necessary to "solve" congestion, the lke [I-290] would be 12-14 lanes wide. Clearly we aren't going to do that, so let's find a better solution BEFORE we expand the lke [I-290]. More highway lanes means more cars, more noise, more air pollution, property acquisition, and more global warming pollution. Extending the CTA Blue Line to Hillside is a better solution. It would increase mobility, solve congestion problems, and improve our communities.	B2
76	How about adding an elevated non-stop year round bike lane along or on top of the Eisenhower? This would alleviate cyclists that drive downtown because they are tired of getting accosted by folks hanging out in the city, especially on the West side. Also widen the pedestrian/bike/disabled bridge that crosses the I-290 at Home Ave in Oak Park. Also extend the CTA Blue Line West to further reduce automotive traffic.	B2, C3
77	I am opposed to widening the Eisenhower expressway between Cicero Ave and Manheim Rd. This is an expensive project with little or no benefit. I would support a long term solution such as expanding the reach of the CTA Blue Line further west. We have seen time and again that expansion projects, such as the Hillside strangler 'fix', yield little to not reduction in congestion.	B2
78	DO NOT EXPAND EISENHOWER. Adding lanes won't reduce traffic congestion. Look at the Hillside Strangler problem. A better solution is extending the CTA Blue Line to Hillside. It would increase mobility, solve congestion problems, and improve our communities.	B2
79	Adding lanes won't reduce traffic congestion. Illinois spent \$140 million to fix the Hillside Strangler, yet travel time remained virtually unchanged. If we were to build all the lanes traffic engineers say is necessary to "solve" congestion, the lke [I-290] would be 12-14 lanes wide. Clearly we aren't going to do that, so let's find a better solution BEFORE we expand the lke. More highway lanes means more cars, more noise, more air pollution, property acquisition, and more global warming pollution. Extending the CTA Blue Line to Hillside is a better solution. It would increase mobility, solve congestion problems, and improve our communities. Please oppose the expansion!	B2
80	It seems that the problem of getting people and goods from east to west focuses on the Eisenhower Expressway. That is a limited view of a problem. If the problem moving automobiles from east to west then perhaps that expanding the Eisenhower Expressway would be a temporary solution. Yet the true problem of moving people and goods will remain unresolved. The solution would be multi-faceted and seeking multiple solutions from a variety of sources. Unfortunately, it does not appear that the Illinois Department of Transportation has that capability. It lacks a broader perspective of the true problem, and focuses on the automobile-an inefficient and damaging form of transportation of people and goods. When I.D.O.T. and policy makers view and defines the problem differently, then perhaps a change for people, the environment, for communities and the future of transportation will be addressed appropriately.	B2
81	Please do NOT widen the Eisenhower expressway. Extending the Blue Line is a much more fiscally and environmentally responsible option. Encouraging more car travel is the last thing we need to do. It will only bring more pollution, more noise, more global warming. Is it worth all that to save a few people a few seconds of travel time? Obviously not. Widening the Eisenhower is an incredibly short-sighted "fix" that ignores the long-range problems. Please don't waste our money on this!	C5
82	I urge that serious consideration be given to extending public transportation (e.g., the CTA Blue Line) west in lieu of destroying portions of communities in order to add additional lanes to the Eisenhower. We have recently experienced high gas prices and will likely do so again and again. I firmly believer that it is in the public interest to provide public transportation alternatives to driving.	B2
83	Extending the Blue Line is the best way to go. Adding more car/truck lanes is very backward thinking and I doubt that it will solve anything.	B2

ID #	Proposed Alternative Comment	Disposition
84	I am writing to let you know I am opposed the expansion of the Eisenhower between Cicero and Manheim. Our communities would be better served by extending the Blue Line. This would reduce pollution and provide people more affordable ways to travel. Adding more lanes to the Eisenhower is not a long-term solution. Expanding public transportation should be the first option.	B2
85	Please do not widen the Ike [I-290]. It will not solve the congestion problem. You would need at least 10 lanes to solve part of the problem. Oak Park does not need more pollution. Extend the Blue Line to Hillside!	B2
86	The expansion of this expressway is essential. It is ridiculous that there are horrible delays due to the narrowing of the lanes at Austin, and that this has continued for years. I live in Oak Park, and I am an advocate of the addition of one additional lane in each direction. Perhaps the lowering of the lanes would prevent housing loss. Is this an option?	A1
87	I fully support adding a fourth lane to the Eisenhower Expressway between Austin Blvd and Mannheim Road. This would correct one of the worst traffic bottlenecks in our region and fix a design flaw that has existed since the highway was built in the 1950's. Hopefully the fourth lane can be added in the existing right of way or "trench" that the highway currently occupies. Every effort should be made not to have to take any adjacent property unless absolutely necessary. I would also support extending the CTA Blue Line to Mannheim Road, where a large parking lot and transit center could be constructed at the old Hillside landfill. Free commuter parking could be offered to commuters taking the Blue Line. First and foremost. please fix a fifty year old problem and add the fourth lane to the lke. I look forward to being able to attend public hearings to support this vitally important project for our regions economy and quality of life.	A1, B2, C11
88	Come on folks! Widening the Ike to 4 lanes each direction is a stop-gap measure, just like the Hillside strangler is still poor. What we need is MASS TRANSIT improvements!!!	B2
89	I'm an Oak Park resident who opposes widening the Eisenhower for several reasons, namely: Adding lanes will not reduce traffic congestion. Illinois spent \$140 million to fix the Hillside Strangler, yet travel time remained virtually unchanged. Why spend tens of millions more to end up with the exact same problem? Research shows that the Ike [I-290] actually would require an additional six to eight lanes to handle congestion. Given that this is not going to happen, a better solution to congestion needs to be created. Expanding the Ike [I-290] will only contribute to the problem of climate change—at a time when we should all be looking for solutions. One alternative would be to extend the CTA Blue Line to Hillside. This would improve congestion problems and contribute to, instead of detract from, our communities. This is such an opportunity for Illinois to be a leader, instead of a joke on late-night TV. Please don't blow it.	B2
90	I think expanding the Eisenhower is a bad idea. You spent \$140 million expanding the Ike [I-290] at Hillside and all it caused was more congestion. You need to please start thinking about increasing public transportation through the corridor. Rail lines leading out to the western suburbs makes a lot more sense than expanding a lane of highway for the 4 or 5 miles up to Austin, it will only increase vehicle usage and create the same problems with no solution. Public transportation ridership is way up, capitalize on this and expand rail.	B2
91	As a resident of Oak Park and user of the Eisenhower Expressway, I'm concerned about efforts to increase the freeway's capacity for two reasons: 1) it would increase pollution and noise in the adjacent communities, and 2) it incents further use of automobiles (typically containing only one person) for commuting. Before we do anything to widen the Ike [I-290], let's first extend the CTA Blue Line west to Hillside (or beyond!) to provide greater commuter capacity. This would help contain emissions, reduce congestion and energy use and would probably provide a financial boost for the beleaguered CTA!	В2
92	I have developed these comments for IDOT's Phase 1 (Environmental and Engineering Study) for the I-290 corridor evaluation. Will IDOT re-engineering a few on/off ramps along with increasing lanes really solve the transportation challenges facing the Chicagoland region? Will increasing capacity relieve automobile congestion for more than a few year period? I say that the money invested in re-engineering 1-290 could be better spent on thinking beyond roads and automobiles. When evaluating transportation needs, I would hope IDOT would expand its mind set from the road/automobile paradigm to a more holistic view of transportation. The continued expansion of roads is not a long-term viable solution to our society's transportation needs. IDOT should think beyond highways to increasing mass transit option for residents i.e. CTA Blue Line extension/expansion, bus and Metra service increases, regional transportation hud bevelopment along I-290, etc. Additionally, the inevitable rise in gasoline costs, a known finite resource, should be strongly considered. With increasing gas costs, more individuals will shift to mass transit use. When IDOT is evaluating the environmental aspects of the expansion, I would hope IDOT would consider global climate change and the health of the communities near the highway. Increasing automobile traffic will only allow our region to increase their CO2 emissions (adding to global climate change) and adversely affect the health of the residents the highway transverses (increased air pollution). The Chicagoland area has an extraordinary amount of public transit options for an American citty; however there is room for so much improvement. Increasing the "livability" of the region will cause people to flock to Chicago as a place they want to live. Also, increasing mass transit needs will make this a place where everyone can thrive. Increasing the highway top-200 only benefit a small group of people with extra disposable income who can afford a cra but it adversely affect their view and looks more	B2, B9, C11

ID #	Proposed Alternative Comment	Disposition
93	I support the expansion of the Blue Line west, the addition of bus rapid transit service, and increased Metra service to address the transportation needs of this corridor. Furthermore, the Blue Line expansion should include a third track for express trains. There exists room in the current right-of-way for such track. The "problem" in this corridor is one of moving people, NOT vehicles. Widening I-290 will NOT result in less congestion. IDOT spent \$140 Million to "solve" the problem of the Hillside Strangler and yet travel times remain virtually unchanged from before the solution of widening the road. I read of one study that found that the additional time lost by a car drivers during the construction phase will never be made up by sufficiently reduced travel times post-construction. The fact that travel times are virtually unchanged is testament to this fact. To add more lanes will only result in more people choosing to drive. This choice will then result in more pollution, more negative quality of life impacts, and, ironically, more congestion and NO reduction in travel times. Tax dollars will be better spent by expanding choices, i.e. expanding the Blue Line, better connectivity between the 'el, Metra and Pace. Expanding I-290 and incentivizing people to drive is irresponsible considering the fact that Chicagoland has the highest asthma rates in the nation. With a small exception, I-290 bisects communities that are lower income and suffer from higher asthma rates, especially among youth. More vehicles will only mean more missed school days and hospitalizations. I urge IDOT to truly become a department of transportation and not just highway building.	B2, B9
94	I live within two blocks of I-290 in Oak Park and I would urge you to consider all transportation options as you review the future of I-290. I am aware there are several community groups who have provided numerous solid alternatives to expanding I-290, so I will not repeat those here. Instead, I would like to your team to consider the benefits of being a leader in addressing the situation. IDOT and the other stakeholders have a unique opportunity to partner together and develop a solution to the traffic issues of I-290 in a way that is creative and environmentally friendly. In this way, your work on this project could serve as an example on how communities, government agencies, and action groups can work together to solve problems in an innovative way. Regardless of the specific benefits and plans being offered, please consider this an opportunity to be on the forefront of transportation planning and execution, which will serve your organization well and offer benefits in this project and future projects for years to come. Bottom line: true innovation often is rewarded more than merely repeating what has been done in the past. By executing smartly on this project, your team well be well positioned to secure additional funding and resources in the future.	B2, B7
95	I strongly urge you to reconsider your proposed expansion of the Eisenhower Expressway. In this era of improving our environment, creating 6-8 additional traffic lanes to accommodate cars isn't a wise choice when we are aiming to reduce noise and air pollution as well as preserve the beautiful landscape and structures around Oak Park and surrounding areas. A much better solution is to expand the Blue Line transit system. On a personal note, my family consciously decided to use our one car only when necessary; we much prefer using public transportation when going to the city; it saves us money and helps make our environment cleaner. For the sake of future generations, help us reduce global warming, put much-needed dollars towards the CTA through the Blue Line extension, and leave Oak Park the desirable community it is by not expanding lanes on the Eisenhower.	В2
96	If you built 8 lanes each way it will still be problematic. Any discussion of widening the lke [I-290] must START WITH the idea of simultaneously extending the Blue Line to Lombard / Oak Brook.	B2
97	Please consider expanding public transit.	B2
98	As a resident of Oak Park, I am unhappy that IDOT has decided to try to ram through this project and ignore the studies that have been done concerning I- 290 area near Oak Park. While your department may think that roads are the answer to all problems, this is a time in history where we need to focus much more on public transportation rather than widening roads. Expanded public transportation to the western suburbs is a much better solution to the traffic problems than is widening an already wide highway. Plus, widening I-290 through Oak Park will destroy some our most valuable assets: a library, a conservatory, and numerous homes. Such destruction is absolutely unwarranted and shows gross disregard for other options for improving transportation and gross disregard for the residents of our village.	B2
99	A net air pollution benefit is likely to result from an increase of capacity on the Eisenhower Expressway. An extension of the CTA Blue Line is less appropriate for the context, an expressway corridor. Significant transit capacity exists and improvement will result for flexible bus service traveling in an uncongested express toll lane connecting to the Forest Park Blue Line and other locations. The Eisenhower Expressway study should include as a principal alternative the addition of an express toll lane in each direction from Mannheim Road to Austin Boulevard. The Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning released preliminary measures for capital projects at the October 23, 2009 Transportation Committee, in which the results for such a project are very impressive. Additional capacity on the Eisenhower is forecast to reduce regional congestion by 50,000 hours per day. While no one can expect uncongested freeway travel this project has more benefit than any other evaluated. Result in a net air quality benefit. Slow moving or stop and go vehicles are more polluting than those traveling at speeds more typical of urban expressways. Pollution due to vehicle volume increase is offset by an improvement in the rate of pollution from each vehicle. Among highway projects only the Eisenhower expansion project indicates a net benefit for both fuel vapors and oxides of nitrogen. Result in a minimal diversion from transit of 3700 transit trips out of over 90,000 in the corridor. However, the CMAP model did not include improved and new bus service with uncongested travel to the Forest Park Blue Line extension: Metra BMSF: 60,000 trips per day; Metra UPW: 25,000 per day; Pace Route 747: 1010 per day; Pace Route 313: 1278 per day; Pace Route 322: 2187 per day. Further, Metra has a New Start proposal to improve service on the UP-West to match that of the BMSF. Consider the land use context and the desire to see compact urban development, rail transit lines in expressay medians that necessitate long walks to the final destinations	A3, B2, B7, B9
100	I hope that we don't just look at expanding an expressway here. With the upcoming need for a high-speed-rail corridor, adding high speed rail along side of, and near the Oak Park corridor under, the roadway would be a smart plan.	B13

I-290 Alternatives Identification and Evaluation

ID #	Proposed Alternative Comment	Disposition
101	I am in support of IDOT closely examining a road improvement project that would provide a consistent 4 lanes on I-290 from the loop in Chicago to Mannheim Road. This type of project makes total sense for environmental and efficiency reasons. An incredible amount of time and gas are wasted in the unnecessary traffic jams caused by the reduction in travel lanes from 4 to 3 between Mannheim and Central Avenue in both directions of travel.	A1
102	A simple one, move the entrance/exit ramps to the outside of the expressway at Austin and Harlem to help reduce the amount of congestion caused by people not knowing the exit side or people waiting until the last minute to merge unto the next lane when not exiting the expressway. Plus a ramp at Oak Park Ave would be nice.	C2
103	I think we need to separate the questions of increasing capacity and design deficiencies. If a truly good and impartial study shows that design deficiencies are significantly slowing traffic then I am in favor of a redesign of the Oak Park section of I-290. However, redesigning the Oak Park section cannot and will not significantly increase capacity. An extension of rail service is a far better response to trying to increase capacity than adding extra lanes (especially HOV lanes) throughout I-290 and capacity certainly needs to increase. If people are interested in carpooling to use an HOV lane, they will be willing to use public transit and then rail is a preferred alternative.	B2
104	There is no question that the Eisenhower needs to be widened. When it was built in the mid-50s, the three lanes west of Austin Blvd were sufficient. That is no longer the case. I would suggest that if you are going to widen the expressway, do it right for once and for all. Widen it to four lanes and extend the Green Line (is it?) out to Oak Brook at the least and preferably Yorktown. That area is growing and has no public transportation to speak of. An extension of the el would be a boon to the depressed towns of Maywood, Broadview, Bellwood and Hillside.	A1, B2
105	I live in Oak Park. I see the problem with congestion not stemming from "too few lanes" but from not enough public transportation out to areas like Schaumburg where a lot of the jobs seem to be going. Why not take a better look at where all of this traffic is coming fromand going tothen make a decision as to whether or not to cut a new lane into a historic suburb like Oak Park. Expanding railthere's your answer. How about a collar Metra line running North/South and intersecting with some of the lines that go into the city?	B10
106	Do not relocate the exit/entrance ramps at Harlem and Austin in Oak Park. These are absolutely necessary.	C2
107	The lane exit at Austin is wonderful. Likewise at Austin entering the Ike [I-290], works well. Harlem going west isn't as smooth. Considering the population base of Oak Park and surrounding communities improving Harlem and leaving Austin's exit as is makes sense.	C2
108	Thanks someone can see a problem and does something to fix the problem. Add 2 more lanes, add 4 more lanes, but fix the problem. Maybe public transportation will be great in 2040. For now, we have millions of vehicles we need to move east and west through the I-290 system. Also, EXIT to the right.	A1, B2, C2
109	The exits at Austin and Harlem would work better if there was a lane dedicated to exiting at those spots! People use the lanes to ditch and get in front of the other traffic that is westbound and not exiting at these streets. We need more lanes to help carry the load of traffic here.	A1, C2
110	Please, please, please widen the Eisenhower at Harlem !!! Get rid of the bottleneck.	A1
111	Leave the expressway ramps open at Harlem Ave and Austin Blvd.	C2
112	I would like to go on record as being opposed to the expansion of I-290 to add additional lanes. I live very close to the expressway in Oak Park, and have many concerns: decrease in property values; increase in pollution; disruption to community during construction; not a viable solution - traffic will only increase (fairly recent expansion at Hillside did NOT solve the problem it was intended to solve - let that be a LESSON LEARNED). Public transportation should be expanded instead.	B2
113	I am in favor of a fourth lane in each direction thru Oak Park as long as: It is accompanied by an extension of the CTA BLUE LINE to the outskirts of Cook County with parking garages at stations; the State of Illinois gives tax credits to those who live within 10 miles of their place of work; the City of Chicago increases the fees for downtown Chicago parking; the State of Illinois gives tax credits for economic automobiles (smaller user tax fee at purchase); noise walls are erected along the Eisenhower from Westchester to Oak Park; all expressways in Chicago are turned over to the Illinois State Toll Highway Authority so that those who choose to use them will be taxed on that use; and it is not dependent on taking additional right-of-way. It is not in the best interest of the driving public or Oak Park to maintain a bottleneck from Westchester to Oak Park.	A1, A4, B2, C11
114	I live in Oak Park and feel strongly that the Eisenhower Expressway should NOT have additional lanes added. The problem in our society is that we constantly want more and don't spend time figuring out how to get by with less. If we add more lanes, then more people will drive and we will be back to the same problem of increased traffic in the future. If people are forced to think of alternatives to driving (like using public transportation) because there is too much traffic, then they will. We need fewer cars on the road and better public transportation, not more lanes to accommodate more cars.	B2
115	Crossing the Eisenhower Expressway as a pedestrian in Forest Park is very difficult and dangerous. There is a high traffic volume from a number of locations at Harlem and Des Plaines and the Circle Avenue bridge has narrow vehicle lanes and narrow sidewalks adjacent to the roadway. Our main park is just along the south side of the Eisenhower Expressway. Forest Park desperately needs a pedestrian walkway over the Eisenhower at Beloit Avenue which is in the middle of our park. Please provide a safe way for pedestrians and bicycles to cross the Eisenhower Expressway in Forest Park.	C3

ID #	Proposed Alternative Comment	Disposition
116	The Eisenhower Expressway plan will have a significant and long-lasting impact on the area well beyond it's projected completion sometime in 2017 or 2018! The planning for this project involves more than IDOT, as we know that the [Cook] DuPage [Corridor] Study and Oak Park Eisenhower Cap have included considerable input and studies into this projected development. In addition, other stakeholders are seeking involvement relative to the future applications in this corridor including freight rail, CTA, Metra, Illinois District 8 Park Districts. The study must include projected transportation demands considering: fall in peak oil production by 2020; 2020 - 2030 global oil demands relative to US fuel costs; projected "Green Generation's" increased dependency on public transportation; expected future capacity of CTA and/or Metra to meet increased commuter rail; new 'auto ferry' approach (possible vehicle rail transport between I-290/I-294/I-88 and the south loop); rail or commuter termination within unused building spanning over Congress; convert I-290 to tollway to supplementary fund ongoing operation of public transportation of the appropriate sound and environment barriers (avoiding the likes of the now disassembled "Berlin Wall" thru Illinois District 8 communities). There are many vantage points to look at this endeavor. At this critical planning juncture, it needs the leadership to encompass all stakeholder needs, and the vision to successfully merge these ideas.	A4, B2, B9, B13, C7
117	Adding more lanes to I-290 is not the answer. All that will do is add more auto traffic to this corridor in the long run. Extending public transportation further west along I-290 is a much better short and long term solution.	B2
118	I am opposed to an expansion of the Eisenhower. However, if the Eisenhower is to be widened, I believe any automobile expansion of the Eisenhower should be matched by an equal rapid transit CTA expansion to Hillside. I think the facilitation of automobile traffic will lead to greater urban sprawl which I consider to have a negative impact on the quality of life within our metropolitan region. I would prefer to see the Eisenhower capped and not widened. I believe the day of the car has come and gone. Detroit will be the next Tombstone. Highways will be the next Oregon Trail - just ruts across the landscape. Let's not burden our grandchildren with highways they will not use and will not be able to afford to maintain.	B2
119	As a long time resident of Oak Park, I would encourage IDOT to take a comprehensive look at the various options presented to dealing with the transportation needs of the Eisenhower corridor. I urge the study group to consider the various alternatives that have been put forward to simply recommending that the expressway be widened. I particularly urge the study group to support the westward expansion of the Blue Line along the expressway corridor. This option will be beneficial to all western Cook County suburbs and would promote the economic development of the area.	B2
120	Concerning the proposed lke [I-290] land expansion project, we are concerned about the health issues during the construction period. Also we will lose our street, Garfield Ave, therefore, there will be more traffic on other streets. This doesn't seem like [I-290] a good idea, and there will be no advantage to people in our area (south of the lke [I-290] and east of Harlem Ave). Only disadvantages and hardship during the construction.	C10
121	I was just wondering if there is going to be any coordination with CTA in regards to extending the Blue Line west. It seems as though it would be a given that raising money and community support etc. could all be done around both objectives. Also, if bringing the Blue Line west is going to happen perhaps we should consider underground subway system, with this system your property acquisition would be less. It may also speed up the process in that you would not have to hassle with some communities for land.	B2, C9
122	I am writing to express my opposition to any widening of I-290. As a resident of Illinois, my hope is that any future development will be with an eye to what our state and our country really need to succeed. Wider highways perpetuate our dependence on a car-based society. A car-based society relies on foreign oil and produces global warming - both things which make this country a frightening place for future residents. Instead of continuing the knee- jerk reaction of adding lanes because we have so many cars, we should take a careful look at what is fueling this need. Are more residents in far-lying suburbs driving daily into the city? How can we plan urban communities to better protect our resources while also helping our citizens. Better public transportation options are the wave of the future and Illinois risks being behind the times if we don't face this reality. As a former resident of Atlanta, I have seen that a city built of 10-14 lane highways built through unregulated urban sprawl, only continues to be clogged with traffic. If you add more lanes, they too will be clogged with traffic with no added benefit to commuters or those whose communities have been destroyed. As a resident of Oak Park, I am even more vehemently opposed to any widening of the lke [I-290]. Our historical homes and libraries and conservatories are national treasures. It will cripple a vibrant community to destroy this. The current lke is enough of an eye-sore and pollutant. Why isn't there already a barrier wall separating the lke from Oak Park to cut down on air and noise pollution? Why aren't trees planted on either side to further counter-act the ill-effects of the highway? If IDOT insists upon widening the lke [I-290], they should consider these kind of options to make it more palatable. In addition, when looking at the current path of I-290 through Oak Park, it is obvious that any construction will impact the Blue Line. If more lanes are really the ONLY option, why not raise the Blue Line, creating a lane for cars underneath? W	B2, C9
123	I have lived in Hillside for 30 years. I work right in the south loop. The solution seems simple. Move the ramps from the middle of the highway at Austin Blvd and Harlem Ave to the sides. Extend the Blue Line west, maybe as far as Lombard. Add lanes till there are 5 in each direction from the Circle to Wolf Road. Enforce the truck lanes and stop light [metering] on the ramps.	A1, B2, C2, C6

ID #	Proposed Alternative Comment	Disposition
124	As someone who lives in Oak Park and commutes using the 1-2901 have first-hand experience with the traffic problems there. Expanding 1-290 is not a viable long-term solution to the area's congestion problem. While it is true that a bottle-neck exists, where the expressway narrows from eight lanes to six, Oak Park is not the root cause of congestion on 1-290. The only way to tru's reduce congestion is to reduce the number of low-coupancy vehicles using the roadways at an given time. Some form of public transit is the solution. The six lane construction of 1-290 is not simply an Oak Park issue. The expressway is narrowed in this fashion for six miles between Austin Blvd and Mannheim Rd. Making 1-290 wider in Oak Park will accomplish nothing other than a shift in the bottle-neck 1.5 miles and a great deal of destruction in Oak Park singly for being in the way. It solves nothing. Oak Park will loose hundreds of homes and the attendant property tax revenue, many businesses, and a public library. Seven bridges would need to be demolished and reconstructed causing a major distruction on major north/south arterial streets like Austin Blvd, Harden Ave, Oak Park Ave and Ridgeland the. The negative consequences for emergency services are obvious. Five CTA station houses would also require reconstruction. This ing the lane issue would require a swath of demolition six miles long from Austin Blvd to Mannheim Rd across several municipalities including Oak Park, Forest Park, Maywood, and Bellwood. This would engulf homes, businesses, schools, parks, municipal buildings. In addition, the relocation of hundreds of graves in Waldheim and/or Concordia Cemeteries would be necessary. The reconstruction of twety-one bridges will also be needed. However the massive investment of public morey, many years of construction and extremely worsenes expressive ay lanes. It would be a proligite waste of public funds that no government entity in Illinois can really afford. The pattern in other areas such as Atlanta, GA shows that si	A2, B15
125	Please look to a public mass transit solution rather than more lanes on the Eisenhower. It is the right thing to doreducing dependence on oil, creating less pollution and leaving more green space rather than paving more area.	B2
126	Regarding the proposed expansion of I-290, I hope that an extension of the CTA Blue Line will be a priority over adding new lanes. I would also like to request a safe bike lane for bicycle commuters. The Illinois Prairie Path ends at 1st Avenue. Why not extend it through to the Lakefront as part of your new plan? Traffic congestion is not solved by more lanes, only by more transportation choices. As a citizen of River Forest, I am affected by any changes to the I-290 corridor. As a bicyclist and commuter, I want public dollars spent of transportation options for all citizens of Illinois, not just able-bodied, wealthy private vehicle owners between the ages of 16 years and 80 years old. Please consider all transit options for all citizens.	B2, C3
127	The key component of any I-290 project is to add additional lanes. Why not eliminate the emergency lanes at bridges and expand the emergency lanes between (removing grass embankments) to accomplish this. This is very cost effective. Secondly, a second access ramp for east bound I-290 to I-294 S and I-88 is a must. Create one for I-88, one for I-294, there is plenty of room and could be done immediately.	A1, C2
128	Please, please, please make two of the following changes to the this roadway. 1) Widen to 4 lanes all the way to the Austin exit. 2) Change the exits at Harlem and Austin so that they flow from the right lanes and not the center. I've entered and exited those lanes hundreds of times and each time it is dangerous.	A1, C2
129	I am a new Oak Park resident who must travel daily to the far SW suburbs. Getting rid of the bottleneck between Wolf and Austin is my primary concern. Obviously, a broader view would dictate some of the public transport options that have been discussed. But the current situation is subtracting years from my life.	A1

ID #	Proposed Alternative Comment	Disposition
130	Dear IDOT, To combat the growing tensions over the Eisenhower Expressway, IDOT has thus far viewed the problem as a need for more capacity on I- 290, and seeks to expand the highway. There are not sufficient reliable, safe, and affordable transportation options in the study area west of Forest Park. What was originally constructed with great foresight as the first multi-modal highway/heav/-rail corridor in the nation has since devolved into a single- mode corridor beyond the western terminus of the Blue Line in Forest Park. In the environmental community, however, several officials and organizations view the situation differently. In order to understand the problems that are currently present in the Eisenhower Expressway, the foremost issue to be addressed is that of the environmental injustices perpetuated against low to moderate income families. The noise, air pollution, and unsafe pedestrian environment that already exist along the highway will be exponentially increased, decreasing the quality of life for local residents. Conversely, those that will benefit from the addition of lanes to the expressway are those that are already wealthier, and live further away from the expressway. Instead, we recommed that public transit options be considered as an alternative to further highway expansion. The corridor is in desperate need of a safe, reliable, and economical transit option to decrease household transportation costs, reduce our nation's dependence on foreign oil, improve air quality, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and promote public health. Any option that is accompanied by highway expansion undermines support for these critical needs. Much of the traffic on 1-290 could be significantly reduced simply by providing an alternative between the Oak Brook, and Schaumburg, while also implementing congestion prices on 1-290 during peak periods, would significantly amplify the benefit. Location-efficient housing would become clustered around the new rail stops, decreasing the cost of housing and transportation for	В2
131	Traffic on the Eisenhower Expressway increases between Hillside and Harlem. Efforts to address the problem must include smart transportation such as a multiple rider lane, a dedicated bus lane, and extending surface rail to the far western suburbs.	A2, B2, B7
132	There is no doubt the Ike [I-290] needs to be widened. All the work on the "strangler" had minimal impact. The ramps at Austin and Harlem need to be replaced as well. I do NOT wish expressway to be covered in Oak Park, as has been suggested. These dollars should be spent on the project as a whole.	A1, C2
133	I am a resident of Oak Park and I am writing to express my opposition to further expansion of the Eisenhower Expressway. More lanes of highway will not reduce congestion, but investments in mass transit will. We have a wonderful regional transit system serving the western suburbs. We should build on it by extending the CTA Blue Line to Hillside to provide a real solution to urban congestion and a reduction to the energy consumption that contributes to global warming.	B2
134	As a senior citizen who does not like to drive at night, I feel it would be more useful to many seniors as well as those without autos to have the CTA Blue Line extended thru the suburbs to Oak Brook shopping malls.	B2
135	Please place emphasis on increasing and improving rapid transit along the lke [I-290] as an alternative to adding more lanes in the targeted area. History has proven that increasing capacity on roads quickly leads to having that new capacity filled. So don't add more lanes. Instead extend the CTA Blue Line farther out west, perhaps to Hillside if possible. And see if the existing tracks can be improved to increase speed, lessen noise, and ensure a smoother ride. The transit cars need to be improved as well - with more comfortable seats and better suspension. People will use the CTA more if riding on it was less uncomfortable. We are not cattle. Regarding the repaving of the lke [I-290] planned for 2010, efforts should be made, if possible, to improve the pavement and traffic flow and light synchronization on the two principal alternate parallel routes, Roosevelt Ave and Madison St. Drivers would be much more likely to take these streets into/out of the city if they weren't so bumpy, if traffic lights were better timed, if there were better street lighting, and if police aggressively enforced the ordinances prohibiting double parking, lane changes without signaling, and similar Third World-like driving practices that now make driving on those streets so streesful and even somewhat risky. We are a First World country and people need to drive as if they live in one.	B2, C5, C6, C10
136	Regarding the I-290 study, I adamantly oppose expanding the highway. The expansion will have detrimental effects on the livability of neighborhoods affected by expansion including an increase in noise and air pollution a loss of important through streets adjacent to I-290. Moreover, it is unlikely that any possible expansion will reduce congestion. A better alternative would be to extend the CTA Blue Line tracks further west. This would allow for greater transit capacity and connect areas of disparate opportunities to one another. In particular, it would be useful in opening up quality job opportunities in the suburbs to low-income persons living in the City of Chicago. In an age where we need to build for sustainability and regional equity, IDOT should invest in smart growth. Extension of the Blue Line is much more sustainable and less destructive than widening I-290.	B2
137	Build a second deck.	C8
138	This is a comment regarding the I-290 Expressway study. I do not feel that expansion of the roadway would be at all beneficial. The "Hillside Strangler" study and expansion project only moved the congestion to another point. I seems better to consider extending the El or similar options further west and having a decent parking area at that end.	B2, C11
139	I suggest the Eisenhower be expanded by building up instead of widened.	C8

ID #	Proposed Alternative Comment	Disposition
140	I think that this project is a complete waste. There is no reason to expand the lke [I-290]. It will have little or no affect on traffic and will just encourage more people to try to use it to get into and out of the city. The money should be used to provide viable alternative transportation for people to move back and forth from the outer suburbs into the city that is more sustainable for the economy, the environment and less disruptive of the community. The corridor between Manheim Rd and Austin Blvd is narrow and densely built up and has created a deep division in several of the towns it passes through including Oak Park and Forest Park. In the space of a city block of Oak Park that corridor includes 6 lanes of highway, two CTA train tracks, dedicated space for two more that could allow express trains to operate if the track was extended to west as a transit alternative, and three well-used freight train tracks. Retaining walls and narrow frontage roads for local traffic are all that separates the corridor from business and single- and multifamily homes in Oak Park. Moving entrance and exit ramps to the outside of this gap will put fast moving traffic right next to Oak Park homes and the local traffic creating dangerous conditions and even more noise than currently exists. There is no room for wide curving exit ramps to connect to Harlem Ave, Austin Blvd or Des Plaines Ave to name just a few. There should absolutely be no taking of additional land or demolition of buildings in Oak Park or Forest Park or elsewhere in the area under study. Whatever is done needs to be done fully within the existing corridor property and not destroy the adjacent communities by removing even more of the built fabric of the historic older suburbs ot hose who choose to live in the outers with long commute times.	B2, C2
141	I would urge IDOT to place the highest possible priority on an extension of rapid transit- high speed rail- as the primary solution to our region's auto commuting dilemma on the Eisenhower. We believe adding lanes to the expressway is a short sighted, ill-fated approach.	B2, B13
142	I would like to express my strong opposition to adding additional lanes to the Eisenhower Express between Mannheim Rd and Cicero Ave. Past experience demonstrates that such a strategy is self defeating. Improvements to public transit are a more cost effective way to reduce congestion, improve access to transportation services and avoid the public health and other environmental problems associated with increasing automobile traffic. Extending public transit also provides an opportunity to reduce our reliance on foreign oil and the threats of global warming. The extension of the Washington D.C. transit system to the suburban metropolitan area with its remote parking provides a compelling example of the benefits of a modern public transit system. In addition to taking thousands of cars off the road each day the transit stops have become centers of economic development. I urge IDOT to reject obsolete highway strategies and use the I-290 corridor to develop a modern public transit option to meet the region's transportation needs.	B2
143	Why not create a two level expressway. A double decker approach would increase traffic flow, shield the road from snow and other weather, allow for construction repairs and not require a substantially larger footprint. It could be built with pre-stressed concrete(flexicore)and this would allow for rapid construction while utilizing superior materials and a much more efficient process. It would also create a sophisticated and advanced look that would positively impact the perception of Chicago.	C8
144	This is long overdue. Can the I-290 eastbound to I-294 southbound ramp be included? Backups are notorious as far back as Rte 83.	C2
145	For years, my husband has complained as we go from Harlem Ave to Austin Blvd about the control Oak Park has to create unsafe conditions for thousands of people. We definitely think that the "Ike" [I-290] should be widened to 4 lanes all the way! The last time the Hillside Strangler was attacked, I was "strangled" during construction, for I worked at Proviso West HSand we all could have predicted that the bottlenecks were not going to leaveonly to move a bit east. Let's not have such a lot of money spent on something that's NOT a solution again!	A1
146	Regarding the IDOT discussion of widening I290 between Cicero Avenue and Manheim Road: Adding lanes won't reduce traffic congestion. Illinois spent \$140 million to fix the Hillside Strangler, yet travel time remained virtually unchanged. If we were to build all the lanes traffic engineers say is necessary to "solve" congestion, the lke would be 12-14 lanes wide. As long as they still have to merge into two lanes to get onto 190/94 or two lanes on Congress, all that will be accomplished is moving the bottleneck farther East. The congestion will continue. More highway lanes means more cars, more noise, more air pollution, property acquisition, and more global warming pollution. Extending the CTA Blue Line to Hillside, and providing parking for commuters near the stations, is a better solution. It would increase mobility, solve congestion problems, and improve our communities.	B2, C11
147	I am opposed to expanding the Eisenhower Expressway. I believe that we should extend the Blue Line train to increase the transportation "bandwidth".	B2
148	Adding highway lanes has proven to be an ineffective means of reducing traffic congestion. The totality of transportation needs and options of the Cook- DuPage Corridor [study] must be considered. Extending the CTA Blue Line west has to be the top priority. Building new roads is a 20th century solution to the transportation and ecological challenges of the 21st century.	B2
149	Expanding the Eisenhower is a short-term solution to a long-term problem. We need to think into the future expanding the El and public transport is the way to go on this issue, not adding more cars, more carbon and frustrated people grid locked in traffic.	B2
150	I would like to state that I do not believe widening the Eisenhower Expressway between Cicero Ave and Mannheim Rd is a good solution to the current traffic problem. Rather than increase the capacity we would be better served by reducing the traffic that passes through this area. The extension of the CTA Blue Line further west is more responsible from a global and environmental aspect. The United States needs to develop a mass transit program and teach people the value of it, choosing to expand the CTA rather than using up more land and adding to pollution by accommodating more traffic is a more forward looking and longer lasting solution.	B2
151	I do not want anymore pollution or noise by my house which is two blocks from the expressway. Expansion of the expressway only promotes automobile travel. In a few years the expressway will be crowded again. Where do you stop? Why not just build a ten lane expressway? Please leave the expressway as it is currently or expand public transportation.	B2

ID #	Proposed Alternative Comment	Disposition
152	This letter presents the views of the Oak Park Environmental and Energy Commission ("Oak Park EEAC") regarding IDOT's current review of its options for reducing congestion on the Eisenhower Expressway. The Oak Park EEAC believes that the current congestion on the Eisenhower imposes unacceptable environmental and economic costs on Oak Park and the other communities that border the Eisenhower, and we urge IDOT to address this problem. But the only environmentally responsible way to reduce congestion is by expanding rail service, when it comes to the environment, rail is the only responsible option. The Oak Park EEAC is a citizen commission established by ordinance in 1997 to advise the Village of Oak Park. Boad of Trustees on energy and environmental issues in the Village of Oak Park. The Commission is appointed by the Board of Trustees. The Calk Park EEAC is a citizen commission established by ordinance in 1997 to advise the Village of Oak Park. Oak Park has approximately 50,000 residents and is one of several communities that are bisected by the Expressway. Many Oak Park residences and businesses are located within a X mile of the Expressway. These residences and businesse would be most directly affected by an expansion of the Eisenhower, but the engative environmental consequences of an expansion would ripple throughout Oak Park, ensignering the health and quality of life of the entire community, imposing additional economic costs (most obviously for additional health care) and directly undermining the Village's quality in the Village. We encourage IDOT to review the December 2002 "Report on the Potential Impacts of the Proposed Eisenhower Expressions and harmful pollutants. [Continued] First, transporting commuters by rail, rather than bus or car, will reduce pollution and greenhouse gas emissions. According to the 2009 American Public Transportation Association ("APTA") Fact Book, each year public transit use in the United States reduces CO2 emissions by 37 million tons from what they would have been fi publ	В2
153	If you can do what they have done for I-294 and I-80/I-90 on the Eisenhower especially between Hillside and just past Austin Blvd going east and approaching Austin Blvd going west from Chicago you would make the Chicago area expressways the best in the country.	A1, A4
154	I'd like to tell you that I'm totally against the widening of the Eisenhower Expressway. We have a two flat in Oak Park that has been in the family since 1917 and four and soon five generations have been raised in that house. By widening the expressway it puts our house in jeopardy. It means more cars, more fuel, more noise, and more pollution. I've been told that the Conservatory would be torn down and the park would become much smaller. Why not expand the Blue Line and spend the money improving public transportation.	В2
155	I have lived in Oak Park for over 30 years. Please do not expand the Ike. Extend the EL.	B2
156	GET CARS OFF ROADSRUN HI SPEED RAIL TO FAR SUBS LEARN FROM OTHER COUNTRIES	B13
157	I have gone to the meetings and I looked at the maps on google. It makes no sense to just widen a few miles of the Ike. All that will so is add to the congestion! What does make sense is to use that money and extend the Blue Line at least to Maybrook Dr. and then to I294 with the ultimate goal of Oak Brook and to the new ring Metra communities from the North. When I moved to this area in 1970, the commute from the Western suburbs was already a mess just a few years after being built. We must take a different approach to public transportation. Good, clean, safe trains are a much better use of our tax dollars! P.S. Website not working.	В2
158	Expanding the Eisenhower is a short term solution to a long-term problem. As a concerned resident who lives directly beside the already existing road I am against expansion, it will destroy our community. A long term solution would be to extend to El-Line and better public transport.	B2
159	To have exits all major streets on 290. To widen lanes near Oak Park and Maywood to lessen bottleneck.	A1, C2
160	Current Conditions Problems: 1. Too much traffic congestion through the corridor, especially through Oak Park. 2. Over pass bridges in Oak Park are in poor condition, are unsafe, and now-ADA compliant, not wide enough for traffic flow. 3. The negative environmental impact, of poor air quality and extremely excessive noise, from the expressway ditch b/w Austin and Harlem. Solutions: CAP the Eisenhower through Oak Park; extend the Blue Line to Oak Brook; Add a BRT Lane. Do all 3.	B2, B7, C7
161	Yes there is congestion, but I worry that widening the Ike will only create more traffic. Please consider either extending the blue line buying a rail line from Canada line and running Metra trains. We need alternatives.	B2, B9
162	I am strongly focused in extending public tranportation rather than widening the Eisenhower. Or use land used by rail instead of tearing down houses	B2
163	I was a long-time resident of Westchester, now living in Darien. I still use I-290 quite often for business and pleasure and find it frustrating day and night to get through this area of the highway. On an even more personal note my brother was killed in 1988 after his eastbound car stalled on the right side of the highway while approaching Harlem Ave. He attempted to cross the highway on foot to get to the Harlem Ave ramp and was struck by a car in the left-hand lane. That ramp situation as well as the ramp at Austin Blvd I would hope could be moved to the right side as part of this project. While my brothers situation was unique I have always found it uncomfortable trying to enter or exit at those ramps in heavy traffic, maybe because they are not the norm. I don't know if accident statistics support my concern but if so please consider the possibility of moving those ramps.	C2
164	Please expand I-290! sooner rather than later.	A1

ID #	Proposed Alternative Comment	Disposition
165	(Map Post-it Note) Blue Line stop on Mannheim Rd. Think how many automobiles can be eliminated.	B2
166	(Map Post-it Note) How will analysis contemplate/integrate a proposed J-Line, potentially @ a intermodal Oak Brook Blue Line Interface.	B7
167	(Map Post-it Note) Add Blue Line stop at Westchester Blvd.	B2
168	(Map Post-it Note) Blue Line should extend to Oak Brook area.	B2
169	(Map Post-it Note) Continue CTA Blue Line from Forest Park to Mannheim RD via abandoned rail right of way & preserve "Prairie Path".	B2
170	(Map Post-it Note) Think about how much traffic would be eliminated with extension of the Blue Line to this point.	B2
171	(Map Post-it Note) Don't stop blue line until you reach the intersection of I-290, I-88, I-294 or add high-speed rail for commuters.	B2, B13
172	(Map Post-it Note) We need a high performance transit corridor not more highway capacity HOV and BRT are not appropriate.	B13
173	(Map Post-it Note) Consider HOV lanes through the corridor.	A2
174	(Map Post-it Note) Expand the amount of lanes on the highway.	A1
175	(Map Post-it Note) Add missing through lane E/B through Oak Park.	A1
176	(Map Post-it Note) Add a lane between Austin Blvd. and split @ Hillside.	A1
177	(Map Post-it Note) Consider creating an upper level to the expressway, where cars ride on roads that are above the current road.	C8
178	(Map Post-it Note) Eliminate a few of the entrance and exit ramps between Mannheim Rd. and Harlem Ave. where Roosevelt Rd. is 4 lanes and could take on some traffic. Congestion is caused by traffic merging on and off the expressway.	C2
179	(Map Post-it Note) Better signage signaling lane changes for exit and entrance ramps.	C6
180	(Map Post-it Note) Truck-to-rail transfer point (landfill area west of Mannheim and north of 290.)	C11
181	(Map Post-it Note) Toll plaza.	A4
182	(Map Post-it Note) North bound tollway at East bound I-88 Exit to Park and Ride.	A4
183	(Map Post-it Note) North bound tollway at West bound I-290 entrance from Park and Ride.	A4
184	(Map Post-it Note) New location for high-speed rail Park N' Ride from Hillside to the old post office building on Congress and Clinton.	B13
185	(Map Post-it Note) South bound tollway exit and East bound 290 exit to high- speed rail Park N' Ride.	B13, C11
186	(Map Post-it Note) Add parallel track for high speed rail (along rail between Darmstadt Rd and I-290).	B13
187	(Map Post-it Note) South bound tollway and West bound I-88 entrance from new high-speed rail Park and Ride.	B13, C11
188	(Map Post-it Note) Make I-290 a tollway between Hillside and downtown to finance high-speed rail and support of it's operational costs.	Α4
189	(Map Post-it Note) Congestion pricing to encourage mode shift. All lanes tolled should be tested.	A3, A4
190	(Map Post-it Note) Blue Line stop at 25th Ave.	B2
191	(Map Post-it Note) 1. Extend Blue Line as far West as possible. 2. Ramp entrances should be on the right side. 3. There should be 5 lanes on each side. 4. larger exit signs.	A1, B2, C2, C6
192	(Map Post-it Note) Blue Line stop at 1st Ave, Maywood.	B2
193	(Map Post-it Note) Extend Forest Park "L" to Maywood and further.	B2
194	(Map Post-it Note) Blue Line must go further West than Maybrookto Oak Brook area.	B2
195	(Map Post-it Note) Look at intermodal facility at 1st Ave by extending Blue Line, moving shops and bus terminal.	B2
196	(Map Post-it Note) Blue Line park & ride (along Maybrook Dr in Maywood).	C11
197	(Map Post-it Note) Blue Line park & ride (west of 25th Ave at Prairie Path).	B2, C11
198	(Map Post-it Note) Blue Line extension and consistent with the Maywood Comp. Plan	B2
199	(Map Post-it Note) Consider BRT through corridor.	B7
200	(Map Post-it Note) BRT with HOV without barrier separation is ill-advised.	A2
201	(Map Post-it Note) Expand	A1

ID #	Proposed Alternative Comment	Disposition
202	(Map Post-it Note) Expand roadway 4 lanes.	A1
203	(Map Post-it Note) Build a double-decker expressway.	C8
204	(Map Post-it Note) Build double-decker for express traffic over present railroad tracks.	C8
205	(Map Post-it Note) Expand at the 1st Avenue area. Traffic always bottlenecks in this area.	A1
206	(Map Post-it Note) Emergency lane needed for emergency vehicles going to Loyola (1st Ave.)	A1, C11
207	(Map Post-it Note) Muni Plans along IHB, E.G., LaGrange Park, Broadview, etc., anticipate inner circ to Blue Line @ I-290/25th. How will alternatives contemplate these benefits.	B10
208	(Map Post-it Note) Project should contemplate accessibility benefits @ IHBinner circ for O'Hare/Midway job access.	B10
209	(Map Post-it Note) I like it the way it is, but bike lanes might be nice.	C3
210	(Map Post-it Note) Add bicycle lanes into corridor	C3
211	(Map Post-it Note) Connect the Prairie Path to a bike route into the loop.	C3
212	(Map Post-it Note) Restore Prairie Path (Old Elgin) Chicago	C3
213	(Map Post-it Note) 1) Traffic timing issues for north ramps at 1st Avenue cause congestion. 2) District courthouse is considering expansion. 3) Increase	C3, C5, C6
214	mobility to/from courthouse pedestrian/public transit. (Map Post-it Note) 25th Avenue ramp backs up causing traffic problems for business along Beach Street.	C2
215	(Map Post-it Note) Reconstruct Roosevelt Rd in advance as alternative during construction.	C10
216	(Map Post-it Note) More public transportation, especially coming in from DuPage Co. is very important.	B2
217	(Map Post-it Note) Please save our real estate. Extend the Blue Line (surface or subway) to Oak Brook.	B2
218	(Map Post-it Note) Extend CTA Blue Line to DuPage County	B2
219	(Map Post-it Note) Have the Green Line extend. Consider bicycle lanes to the community.	B5, C3
220	Public transportation is the one solution	B2
221	(Map Post-it Note) Consider BRT solution through corridor	B7
222	(Map Post-it Note) Cost for BRT must include new lanes, etc. BRT can't exist without them.	A1, B7
223	(Map Post-it Note) How will alternate modes of transportation like bike lanes and bus and train lines be added or extended within the context of this	B2, C3
224	plan. I fear the only thing considered will be widening I-290. (Map Post-it Note) Consider HOV lanes through the corridor.	A2
225	(Map Post-it Note) To reduce traffic on I-290 and not just move it downstream past Cicero= the extension of the Blue Line Westward (possibly to Oak	B2, C11
225	Brook). Also add park and rides in westward villages. (Map Post-it Note) BRT is a placeholder for rail. The corridor is already dense enough for rail, so a placeholder is a waste of \$.	B2, C11 B2
220	(Map Post-it Note) this plan is myopic. Instead think of a rail-west with multiple routes.	B2 B2
227	(Map Post-it Note) Waste of \$ to build more lanes. Spend \$ on public transit.	B2 B2
229	(Map Post-it Note) Bring the Cta under the roadway.	C9
230	Pave it over and add rail. Electric rail (freight and passenger).	B10
230	(Map Post-it Note) Do not add HOV lanes. Put in extension of Blue Line.	
231	(Map Post-it Note) but how and how ranes. Put in extension of blue Line. (Map Post-it Note) Put trains underground and run new lanes on top.	B2 C9
232	(Map Post-it Note) Fut trains underground and full new ranges on top. (Map Post-it Note) Can CTA be extended West with parking lots out west, ride train into downtown.	B2, C11
235	(Map Post-it Note) can CIA be extended west- with parking jots out west, noe training downtown. (Map Post-it Note) Extend Blue Line further west.	B2, C11 B2
234	(Map Post-it Note) Extend blue Line further west. (Map Post-it Note) The extension of the CTA Line would be a better solution to the problem . Yes to the Oak Park Cap.	B2, C7
235	(Map Post-it Note) The extension of the CTA Line would be a better solution to the problem . Tes to the Oak Park Cap.	B2, C7 B2
236	(Map Post-it Note) Extend blue Line to Gak Brook. Do not add HOV lanes. (Map Post-it Note) I live in Oak Park six houses south of I-290, and I oppose the additional lanes. Expansion of public transportation is the answerthis will negatively impact the entire neighborhood and the investment I have made in my home No additional lanes!	B2 B2
238	(Map Post-it Note) Extend rail service to Oak Brook and N/S to O'Hare.	B1, B2

I-290 Alternatives Identification and Evaluation

ID #	Proposed Alternative Comment	Disposition
239	(Map Post-it Note) Blue Line to Yorktown in Lombard/Oak Brook/Downers Grove.	B2
240	(Map Post-it Note) Concentrate on light rail. Blue Line to Yorktown.	B2
241	(Map Post-it Note) If Blue Line is extended into DuPage county, do not run alignment next to expressway.	B2
242	(Map Post-it Note) Local traffic only. Replace highway with electric rail, freight on flat beds to Harlem or Cicero or Circle only. Passengers use CTA.	B2, B10
243	(Map Post-it Note) Extend Blue Line at least to Oak Brook (IL 83) or Lombard (Highland Ave).	B2
244	(Map Post-it Note) Make El a subway.	С9
245	(Map Post-it Note) Extend CTA or add Metra. Do not widen Ike.	B2, B10
246	(Map Post-it Note) Extend Blue Line to Oak Brook.	B2
247	(Map Post-it Note) Extend entrance ramps. Increase red light by a minimum of five seconds.	C2, C6
248	(Map Post-it Note) Build a pedestrian/bike bridge over the Ike at Beloit for access to park.	C3
249	(Map Post-it Note) Move ramp and aid traffic flow	C2
250	(Map Post-it Note) Make Austin Blvd and Harlem Ave right exits. There is room to do this without adding lanes or taking land.	C2
251	(Map Post-it Note) Move exit/entrance ramps to right side of lanes in Oak Park for safety.	C2
252	(Map Post-it Note) Place several "fat bridges" across I-290 to add open space and re-link several neighborhoods.	С7
253	(Map Post-it Note) Keep the ramps on the interior of I-290.	C2
254	(Map Post-it Note) Minimize land taken for off ramps at Harlem. Increase rail to the west.	B2, C2
255	(Map Post-it Note) Reconstruct the Harlem Ave. interchange as a traditional diamond interchange.	C2
256	(Map Post-it Note) The pedestrian bridge has all the appeal of a minimum security prison.	C3
257	(Map Post-it Note) Remove center lane merges [Austin Blvd, Harlem Ave].	C2
258	(Map Post-it Note) Lower R.R. tracks as much as much as possible to avoid raising crossroad bridges.	C11
259	(Map Post-it Note) Ped. access of EL on Harlem requires crossing a very busy road. Can this be made safer?	C3
260	(Map Post-it Note) Blue Line train station entrance is not safe for pedestrians crossing I-290 on and off ramps at Harlem Ave and Austin Blvd.	C2
261	(Map Post-it Note) We need bike lanes, not more car lanes so local commuters can bike downtown safely.	C3
262	(Map Post-it Note) Harrison frontage between Harlem and East Ave. is only 1.5 lanes wide. Dangerous for parking or two-way traffic. (See similar	C10
263	comment(#32) for Garfield) (Map Post-it Note) Garfield frontage road between Home Ave. and Austin is only 1.5 lanes wide and dangerous to park or drive. (See similar comment	C10
264	(#30) for Harrison) (Map Post-it Note) There needs to be a stop sign here on the north side of the bridge @ Circle due to heavy pedestrian traffic by the parks.	C6
265	(Map Post-it Note) Oak Park Widen the curb cuts and sidewalks for pedestrians at the Harlem Ave. off and on ramps. Much too narrow now.	C3
265	(Map Post-it Note) Sidewalk is very pedestrian unfriendly. Roadway is also too narrow to accommodate busses and loading and unloading at CTA	
267	stations. (Map Post-it Note) See example of Oak Park, Michigan in terms of running expressways through communities without physically dividing them.	C7
267	(Map Post-it Note) see example of Oak Park, witchigan in terms of running expressivays through communities without physically dividing them.	C7 C3
269	(Map Post-it Note) Lackson Blvd., bikes and pedestrians only. Connect to Prairie Path and Columbus Park.	C3
203	(Map Post-it Note) Partial Cap @Oak Park Ave/I-290Reconnect business district.	C7
270	(Map Post-it Note) Fartial Cap @Oak Fark Ave/1230-Acconnect business district.	C7
271	(Map Post-it Note) Either have CSX use their tracks or give them up to make room.	C11
272	(Map Post-it Note) Cantilever street on north side. Bump over on south side. Do not remove any more houses.	C11
273	(Map Post-it Note) Make it toll road \$40 minimum.	A4
275	(Map Post-it Note) Look at one-way couples on frontage roads.	C10
2,5		010

I-290 Alternatives Identification and Evaluation

ID #	Proposed Alternative Comment	Disposition
276	(Map Post-it Note) Meet with local communities impacted by the study. Extend Blue Line	B2
277	(Map Post-it Note) Move ramps to aid traffic flow.	C2
278	(Map Post-it Note) Don't switch ramps to rightuse more effective metering.	C2
279	(Map Post-it Note) Re-design left exit/enter ramps in Oak Park.	C2
280	(Map Post-it Note) Lengthen on-ramps for rush hour traffic.	C2
281	(Map Post-it Note) Reconstruct westbound Austin Blvd interchange such that exit and entrance ramps depart and enter from westbound I-290 right lane.	C2
282	(Map Post-it Note) Get rid of lane drop westbound at Austin Blvd and all left hand ramps (Austin Blvd, Harlem Ave).	C2
283	(Map Post-it Note) Keep the ramps on the interior of I-290.	C2
284	(Map Post-it Note) How about building a fly over ramp to avoid the congested area.	C11
285	(Map Post-it Note) Provide bus space on bridges where there are rapid transit stops.	С5
286	(Map Post-it Note) This ramp catches motorists off guard. Left turn only w. four lanes to 3 lane reduction.	C2
287	(Map Post-it Note) Provide ample space for long semi-trailer trucks wanting to enter the Eisenhower or limit trailer length and enforce.	C2
288	(Map Post-it Note) Move all entrances/exits to right side of the road.	C2
289	(Map Post-it Note) Put CTA below ground.	С9
290	(Map Post-it Note) Expand public transit options. Do not add lanes.	B2
291	(Map Post-it Note) Extend rail service to Oak Brook.	B2
292	(Map Post-it Note) Need to acquire railroad property for moving CTA to the south and add lanes.	A1
293	(Map Post-it Note) No additional lanes Increase Blue Line track. No cap!	B2
294	(Map Post-it Note) Be more visitor friendly by providing many large signs for streets.	C6
295	(Map Post-it Note) Have more signs ahead of arriv[ing] at exits for Harlem & Austin	C6
296	(Map Post-it Note) Cars sitting in traffic pollute. Need more lanes.	A1
297	(Map Post-it Note) Need 4th lane - managed lane with transit - as part of regional managed lane network.	A2, A3
298	Would moving the 4-3 lane contraction to a straight road segment (here?) result in less congestion?	A1
299	(Map Post-it Note) Add lanes! Keep it in the ditch!	A1
300	(Map Post-it Note) Cap on partial cap @ Austin/I-290. Connect Columbus Park with Barrie Park.	С7
301	(Map Post-it Note) Blue Line station entrance at Harlem unsafe with pedestrians crossing I-290 on and off ramps.	C5
302	Try SPUI [single-point urban interchange] at Austin Blvd and Harlem Ave.	C2
303	Oak Park EEC letter, add rail, no adding of traffic lanes.	B3
304	Commuter trains should be extended to at least Hillside (the former quarry landfill could be a terminal) or Berkeley (the air space above the Proviso Rail Yards could be a terminal and connection to Metra) It would relieve some of the highway traffic. Adding lanes would of course reduce congestion.	A1, B2
305	Intersection saftey at Austin and Railroad south of IKE	C10
306	There should be a lane increase between Mannheim and Cicero in both directions, but especially the inbound. No boutique in Oak Park is more important than the precious time of thousands of commuters who are bottlenecked in this area each and every day.	A1
307	The obvious solution is to add a lane from Austin to Mannheim. Whoever design I 290 to narrow at Austin must have had the foresight of a rock. Getting rid of that ridiculous bottleneck would vastly improve the flow in peak hours.	A1
308	Carpool lanes will not solve any of the problems on the Eisenhower. I doubt that many drivers would pay attention to the restrictions. I think that the CTA lines should be extended at least to Oak Brook.	B2
309	Expand the CTA Blue Line west and upgrade the rail lines. Leave the Eisenhower itself alone. We need to lessen our dependence on cars and move to forms of transportation that benefit the environment and do not destroy the community.	B2

ID #	Proposed Alternative Comment	Disposition
310	As a resident of Oak Park and one living a block away from I-290, I am writing to express my extreme opposition to any attempt to expand the Eisenhower freeway without a proper, independent and honest environmental impact study. This study should not only look at the deposition of highway contaminants up to 5 blocks away from the freeway's proposed site of expansion, but also the immediate impact of the expansion on air quality in the surrounding area. Additionally, a cost benefit analysis should be done to compare the cost of the expansion over the cost of more environmentally and socially sound alternatives, such as expanding the CTA service. Finally, this analysis should also accurately reflect the true value of HOV lanes (many studies show them to be ineffective) and consider the cost of the decrease in quality of life, property values, and the increase in potential diseases due to increase pollutants in the air and ground. I believe that a fair analysis will show what Oak Park residents have been saying all along, that there are far better alternatives to improve transportation along this corridor without compromising people's health and the environment.	В2
311	It is foolish to expand the Eisenhower, given that we are in a crisis regarding availability of oil and of global warming. The only sensible solution involves rapid transit and the Blue Line.	B2
312	Please, please provide a safe bicycle path that will run along the new eisenhower expressway. There are many bikers, runners, etcthat would utilize this route into the city, especially from Oak Park and nearby suburbs. The current Augusta bike path is not a safe route and is pretty much a joke - too many gangbangers, crazy drivers and pot-holes. It would be irresponsible not to implement a healthy lifestyle and safe route into the city for the 21st century. Now is the time and Chicago can be a leader in promoting "green" urban development.	C3
313	Please consider adding a "green" piece to this projecta separate,protected from traffic, bicycle lane, running parallel to the expressway. This is a wonderful opportunity to provide communities with an alternative method of transportation.	С3
314	I would like to see a bike lane incorporated into this project. It's a sensible, green, twenty-first-century thing to do. If the el can exist alongside traffic, so can a bike path. Please consider allowing commuters an alternative means to transportation that reduces congestion and reliance on foreign oil.	C3
315	I can not believe in this day and age we are not planning for alternative transportation choices which include biking to work or a day in the City. Come on people and get wtih the times and add a bike/walk path along the Eisenhower expressway while you still have the opportunity to still make this an option.	C3
316	The expressway should be rebuilt with the future in mind. Just expanding the size is not what will help the general public. In London you cannot drive in the downtown area without paying a fee. The same thing is going to happen in Chicago so we do not need more cars & trucks going into the city. A much better idea is to expand the public transportation to reflect the growing population. It should be expanded to the suburbs so they will come to the City but not clog it up with vehicles. Better and safer service with Trans (ELS) going out to Aurora and Dekalb & other Surburs on all areas North, South, Southeast & West is the ideal situation, not more vehicles. It will also help keep polution down.	B2
317	How about a bike/running lane? Those close to the city could use their own power to get there safe and fast. Perfect time to move toward a more environment responsible plan. Not to do so would be a great mistake.	C3
318	The Hillside strangler is worse than ever, especially after the huge project that was supposed to fix it. What is being done to have a set number of lanes the entire length of the highway - i.e. 4 or 5 lanes so the 4 and 5 lanes of traffic downtown and out in the suburbs do not need to jam into 3 lanes in the strangler area.	A1
319	It is important to add the idea of "bike paths" to the initial design for the Eisenhower Expressway project. Chicago needs to think more "green". Otherwise, we'll be a city that is always repairing bad decisions.	С3
320	I travel the Eisenhower from Wolf Road through downtown Chicago every weekday because public transportation is too difficult to get me to the north side of Chicago. I'm not the first one to register this observation, but the narrowing of the lke through Oak Park is nonsensical. Let me echo the sentiment of thousands: Add a fourth lane all the entire length of the lke. Thank you.	A1
321	Instead of adding additional lanes to the Ike [I-290] at Austin, your should seriously consider expansion of the CTA Blue Line west into Maywood and Hillside and upgrade the rail lines to accommodate transportation demands.	B2
322	Instead of expanding the Eisenhower, the State should re-allocate the funds to extend the CTA Blue Line to Maywood and Hillside. Expanding the Eisenhower is at most a temporary fix. In time more drivers will use the extra lanes causing those lanes to be overcrowded, just as the added lanes intended to solve the "Hillside Strangler" already are. The environmental impact of extending the El is much less than adding lanes to the lke.	B2
323	We need a bike path into the city!!!!!! It must be incorporated into this plan or we again will be missing out on a golden opportunity to be GREEN!!!!	С3
324	I strongly support adding a fourth lane to the Eisenhower Expressway between Austin Blvd and 25th Ave. The fourth lane would correct a design flaw that has existed in the highway since it was built 50 years ago. The daily traffic gridlock caused by this design flaw has a huge economic and environmental cost to our region and even the national economy. The costs of having tens of thousands of cars and trucks caught in the gridlock include a huge loss of productivity, additional pollution and a waste of fuel. The cause of this gridlock is the narrowing of a busy highway from four to three lanes. It is time for the obstructionists to stand aside and let the state add the fourth lane. I believe that there are options to add the fourth lane in Oak Park without widening the existing trench through the village. I hope that the project can be completed without taking any additional, or only very minimal land, in Oak Park. I am also opposed to the fourth lane being only a HOV lane. We do not have HOV lanes in the region, and I see no reason to require that the new lane be HOV. I work in downtown Chicago and ride the Metra from Oak Park on most work days. I do support improvements to public transportation, including looking at extending the Blue Line to Hillside or Oak Brook and expanding service on Metra. But first things first-widen the lke [I-290].	A1, B2
325	Add a lane both eastbound & westbound from Austin to Mannheim.	A1
326	It is vital to the transformation of our urban area to have a green and cycling safe connection linking, the near suburbs to downtown. I am a regular bicycle commuter from Oak Park into the Loop. Safety is a huge issue.	C3
327	With a critical need to improve physical health, lower carbon emissions and alleviate congestion, we must integrate alternative transportation, like safe cycling and pedways.	C3

ID #	Proposed Alternative Comment	Disposition
328	What happened to the proposals which were extensively studied to partially cap the IKE in Oak Park?? Oak Park does not need more lanes widening the IKE. It is plenty disruptive now!! In the 50's the community successfully blocked the exits at Ridgeland Ave. Later the idea of tunring Ridgeland into the Crosstown Xway was shot down along with the whole extreme disruption of the Crosstown route elsewherefortunately. The metro area should not have more traditonal expressways - think of European Cities defaced and destroyed by what has taken place in the US - rapid transit must be improved. Build another xway or lane and it will be clogged in no time. I am an 50 year Oak Parker residing on block adjacent to IKE - anmd a cyclist - so would appreciate accomodations in the future for cyclists. Riding from Oak Park downtown to the Lakefront is quite a challengeno bike lanes, bike lanes which end, etc. Thanks for the chance to comment.	СЗ
329	We are not in favor of the expansion of the Eisenhower Expressway. We would like to see public transportation (rail) expanded instead.	B2
330	With everything that we now know regarding automobiles and their impact on the environment, there should be some kind of option for bicycles included in updated version of the Eisenhower. It would add little to the cost and greatly enhance the lifestyle for many Chicagoans. Thank you for listening.	C3
331	Has anyone thought of just putting another highway on top of the Eisenhower highway? Please do not cap the lke. Oak Park should think about selling the property south of the expressway to Berwyn. That way there wouldn't be that seperation problem that Oak Park officials seems to worry about.	С8
332	Our concern is the taking away of private property in the communities along the expressway. Our suggestion is to go green and expand the El line further west.	B2
333	After years of suffering with the bottleneck of the Eisenhower from Austin to Mannheim, hopefully they will finally widen the highway to what it should have been all along 4 lanes each way!	A1
334	I strongly object to the widening of the Eisenhower Expressway. Studies show that widening highways creates more of a demand and increases the numbers of cars. Also, it would take land away from my town, Oak Park. We should put the money into Rapid Transit.	B2
335	With this lastest re-paving fiasco: Of which it seems like all of us that go west just got through with stuff and here we are again! Why dont we/you do the real repair on the IKE which is the ebtrance and exits from the left lanes from Central, Harlem, etc andthe lanes narrow by a lane! Whomever designed the left hand enter and exit on top of a lane reduction - should have to drive the IKE in both directions every day for at leat 5 times per day! I hope whomever is reading this has a sense of humor but it is rediculous! Drivers have a tough time merging to begin with and then when you let them on and off to the left???!!! That is where we should be working and investing! I also do NOT think I am alone on this!?	C2
336	With so much money involved why don't we widen and improve the rail through out the area as well, instead of just resurfacing.	B2
337	Station improvements in Maywood at 5th Avenue, Infrequent stop times at Metra Stations	C5
338	I live in Oak Park. Make the damn thing 4 lanes. There are people who will support you. Look at how well the I-294 project went. Lets start I-290 in 5 years. Then we can extend 53!!!	A1
339	Recommended 'fiscally constrained' solutions identified in the 'Regional Mobility' Section 5.6 - 'Cost and Financing' of the CMAP 2040 Draft Plan (pp. 180- 194) indicates alternative transit related projects and upgrades to existing roads and highways. Roads and Highways for 2040: IL 53 North and IL 120 ; Elgin O'Hare Expressway ; I-190 access; Add lanes to I-80; Add lanes to I-88; Add lanes to North I-94; Add interchange at I-57 and I-294; Provide "Managed Lanes" along I-55; Provide "Managed Lanes" along I-90. Alternative Transit for 2040: CTA Red Line Extension; West Loop Transportation Center; CTA North Purple and Red Line Improvements; Metra Rock Island Improvements; Metra UP North Improvements; Metra UP Northwest Improvements and Extension; Metra UP West Improvements; I-290 Multimodal corridor provisions. Regarding the I-290 (Eisenhower) Corridor, the Draft Report states that the "the [I-290] project should require careful attention to minimize project impacts on adjacent communities and preserving options for transit in the Corridor." The report refers to IDOT's phase I engineering work for the modes to be chosen and goes on to state that "a multimodal approach is favored over simply adding lanes to the highway."	В2
340	Provide greater evaluation of fraontage roads, safety, on-off ramps and reduced width. Sustainability intiatives	C2, C11
341	 CTA Forest Park terminal issues Congested auto access and constrained capacity at the existing park-and-ride facility Lack of direct express bus access from I-290 to the Forest Park bus terminal Undersized terminal rail yard facility Potential for relocation of terminal facilities to a new site between First Avenue and the Des Plaines River in Maywood (and TOD redevelopment of surrounding Maywood area and existing Forest Park terminal site) 	C5
342	Regarding the purpose and need outline as it relates to the Village of Maywood:What about residential population areas not being served by transit (slide 9). Improve bus connection times between blue, green lines and Metra (slide 16).	C5
343	We [the park district] would like assurances that the investment that the community has made will not be laid waste by this project. Without the mass transit component, th econgestion will grow worse instead of better. Extend the Blue line, add some safe, well designed places to park a bicycle, figure out how to fund connecting bus lines, shove over and use some of the rail space for something other than storing flat cars. After these aspects are addressed realistically, then talk to me about taking a street and a line of homes.	B2, C3, C5
344	(1) Ultimately the Park District of Oak Park would like concrete evidence that there are absolutely no major impacts to our parks, buildings, or programs, whether it be physically, economicaly, or environmentally. (2) Extend the CTA's El Blue Line farther west to a destination spot, for example, Oak Brook Terrace or Downers Grove. (3)Arterial and non-motorized improvements should be proposed for the intersection at Harlem Avenue and Austin Boulevard. (4) Create a north-south HRT, CR, or LRT line, maybe along I-355, to connect to existing single and multimodal transportation systems that lead into interior suburbs and the City of Chicago.	B2, B16, C3, C10
345	Make all exits and entrances from right lanes	C2

ID #	Proposed Alternative Comment	Disposition
346	Can someone take a look at how the traffic on the eastdound Ike exit at Cicero extends all the way to Lavergne and sometimes Laramie Ave. This is a very big accident waiting to happen. It was a very scarry wait for the Cicero light at Lexington to change. Please take a look it happens everyday, especially around 10:am-6:pm When I'm sitting in the local Iane waiting It only reminds ME of the kids that were killed when the truck rammed into the car or van they were in Pleas Help before it is too Iate!!!!!!!!!!!!!	C2
347	I attended Your open house on May 18th and YIKES! it was happenning all over again! You took my home for the Hillside Strangler Project and I moved to Elmhurst. I was studying your map of ALTERNATIVE #54 [CORRIDOR ADVISORY GROUP] which showed a rail line coming down Butterfield Road, RTE 56, to Oak Brook. Right past close to where I live! From the time the "Strangler" Project was announced until We received our "offer" From IDOT for our Home, The Real Estate value depreciated from \$225,000 to \$180,000. If you bring a rail line down Butterfield Road, you'll get Me again, depreciating the value of our property. Nothing like noisy trains to bring down property values. In Hillside, we lived next to the Railway and our home was much cheaper to purchase because of it. It seems like it is intended to bring "customers" to Oak Brook Shopping Center instead of alleviating traffic congestion. It reminds me of when some friends and family worked at NORTH RIVERSIDE SHOPPING MALL a number of years ago. They were always replacing stolen cars. The "JOKE" was"I'm taking the EL and a bus to North Riverside Mall, but I'm Driving home!". Widen the Expressway from 25th to Austin or Central but don't Play West of Wolf Road.	A1
348	The blue line should be extended and light rail should be added. Expanding the IKE should be off the table.	B2
349	1. Include Desplaines ave in arterial study 2. Ironic & unfortunate that bicycle travel is not recommended at First Ave and DesPlaines Ave when Prairie path ends at First Ave and there is a pedestrian bridge over Desplaines River at Haybrook Court House 3. Rapid bus on Prairie path? No way!	C3, C10
350	1. Improve regional travel: Include Harrison from 1st to Austin & frontage raods (including garfield) along I-290 2. AGT would best serve as express rather station every 1/4 mile 3: Express bus should be express bus. 4. Express rail with Park-n-ride	B4, B15, B16, C10
351	Add bicycle lanes along 290 to connect downtown with the western suburbs. Please consider connecting the Prairie path east into the city.	C3
352	Take a look at the public trans that extended to the western suburbs. Please remember other plans like the "intercirc" which was going to link O'hare and Midway on the IL Harber belt.	B2
353	Fenwick High School advocates the inclusion of CTA Blue Line Rapid Transit Service from Forest Park to Hillside.	B2
354	Improve regional and local travel transit mode including people movers.	B16
355	Construct an elevated highway over the present expressway with limited access and egress. Extend Blue Line West along Prairie Path.	B3, C8
356	Please get rid of the left-lane on and off ramps at Harlem and Austin.	C2
357	The stoplight on 1st avenue going south that is near the expressway takes twice as long to turn green than the opposite side street light. Extend the blue line and add lanes.	A1, B2, C2
358	Extend Blue Line west, widen I-290 to 4 lanes to Cicero, redo Austin and harlem ramps to right side of expresway	A1, B2, C2
359	Bike /pedestrian-maintian (blue) improve(green)-These designations for blue should change to green or improve at Austin, Lombard, Sait and OP Ave. Most important at Austin and OP. Cap over the IKE at OP Ave.	C3, C7
360	Focus on better public transportation, green alternatives and cost effectiveness	B2, C11
361	The main push must be improving and extending the blue (and green) lines. Toll Eisenhower road during peak traffic okay. Bury the train under highway?	A4, B2, B5, C9
362	I strongly oppose adding a lane to the Eisenhower. Blue and green lines should be extended. Park and ride lots at terminal. The blue line could be moved underground. Eisenhower should be a toll road, increased toll during rush hour.	A4, B2, B5, C9
363	Raised monorail system from blue line down prairie path to Dupage.	B16
364	The roads need to be widened and public transit needs to be extended.	A1, B2
365	Extending public transit westward should be the first priority. I would ride my bicycle more if safety was assured. More "improvements" or widening Roosevelt Rd seems like a bad idea.	B2
366	I would love to be able to take public transportation that runs 24/7 at least to Oak Brook. I also agree in principle with changing the Harlem & Austin Ave ramps to right-hand ramps.	B2, C2
367	Move all Eexit/entry lanes to right side, add lanes to avoid congestion, no bus lanes, no bike lanes next to car lanes	C2
368	I really like the people mover concept.	B16
369	The project should not seek to increase traffic on local arterials such as Ridgeland Avenue. New interchanges at these types of roadways that cross I-290 should not be part of the project.	C10
370	Maintain praire path with new roadway improvements. Provide buffers (physical) & space between bicylle and roadway. Love to see the "round abouts " to help with arterial traffic congestion	C2, C3
371	The following conditions need to be included at Oak Park Ave, Home Ave, and East Ave over I-290: "Improved Conditions for Pedestrians"/"Suggested Interchange Improvements"/ "Suggested pedestrian and bicycle improvements."	С3
372	l agree we have to ease the congestion on 290, not by adding more lanes or changing ramps, but by extending the current rail lines further west.	B2
373	Extend the blue line.	B2

ID #	Proposed Alternative Comment	Disposition
374	Consider bicycle and pedestrian crossings/paths for your design. Connections to the Illinois Prairie path should also be considered.	C3
375	Extend the blue line out to Oak Brook along existing infrastructure.	B2
376	Extend blue line. Extend Green Line. Add NS Metra Line N IL 59. No commerical trucks on I-290.	B2, B5, B10
377	Pace, CTA, RTA how can their contribution to the over-all logistical equation be changed go as to decrease individual relinace on single-vehicle traffic.	C5
378	Focus is pointed more toward increasing number of vehicules on road, rather than increasing efficiency & reliability of mass transit. Why? Increasing safety: if there were fewer vehicules on road, the highway would be safer. Increasing mass transit would reduce vehicules on road. Why is this not being emphasized more?	B2
379	The initial alternatives do not include improvements for pedestrian and bicycle crossings at Oak Park Avenue or at the Home Avenue pedestrian bridge. Wider sidewalks, curb cuts and concrete repairs are sorely needed for safety and ease of use of these heavily traveled crossings.	C3
380	1) Suggested one way frontage road (arterial improvement). Am concerned this would create unitended conseguenses of higher traffic in residential side roads. Need the proposed modeling and integration with other soultions to understand what this could mena. 2)Construct additional general purpose lanes. First priority should be to take space from rail not frontage road. Widening expressway beyond existing frontage is couterproductive to goals. Not for optimizing existing footprint is fine.	A1, C10
381	Trains/buses are the way to go. Tunnel from the Hillside Strangler to west of the I-290-90/94 interchange. Put trains and express lanes in tunnel.	B2, C9
382	Include area for wildlife crossings at Des Planines River and Addison Creek	C11
383	Widening the Ike will not relieve congestion. Rapid transit is more environmentally friendy, creates jobs and improves access to jobs	B2
384	Don't close Austin entrance. Just reroute Harlem or Austin Ramps to sides. Fix rapid transit entrances by moving entrances off main street. Last but not least to fix congestion on Eisenhower destrot it completely	C2, C5
385	AGT-Follow 290 route to 88 to Oakbrook/Yorktown. Use the mall lots as park and ride. Do not extend blue line through river forest on old rail tracks. Rather extend blue line as above with AGT to Mannheim.	B16, C11
386	Make more lanes for the ramp for 294 south. Different on and off ramps in the most congested areas. Make semi-truck only lanes.	A1, C2
387	Extending the 4th lane all the way to Mannheim would be a tremendous help. Also, north-south pedestrian/bike routes need to be added at DesPlaines and Harlem.	A1, C3
388	Just restripe, contrinue 4 thru lanes between Central and Mannheim.	A1, C11
389	Expand transit to points west and multi-use trails	B2, C3
390	Have two lanes meet two lanes on the I-290/I-88 merge to become four lanes.	A1
391	Prefer expanding public transit options over "highway only" options. However improvements to entrance/exit ramps needed for improved safety.	B2, C2
392	We support adding the blue line extension, possibly buying and putting metra lines on the CSX railway and adding other forms of transportation (monorail, bus lines).	B2, C5
393	Consider expanding CTA rail corridor west, more public transport options.	B2
394	The best option for reducing congestion seems to be extending the CTA Blue Line (and improving its service). The blue line used to run to Westchester and should once again.	B2
395	Use the Harlem blue line entrance frequently. Not only is it not ADA compliant but it is also very dangerous for all pedestrians. Please use this reconstruction as an opportunity to improve conditions for pedestrians accessing CTA median stations.	C3, C5
396	Yes to pedestrian improvements suggested. Yes to improvements for bikes. Yes to BRT service. No to widening 290.	B7, C3
397	Alternate public transportation items need to to be fully considered. The RTA Cook-DuPage corriodr study outlines a number of these transit options that would reduce inbound/outbound congestion along I-290	A1, B9, C5
398	I favor the following solutions: 1. Add a 4th lane to the Eisenhower between Austin and 25th avenue. Negotiatewith the CN railroad to purchase their right of way through Oak Park so the road can be widened in the existing trench. 2. Improve Metra and CTA service especially the reverse commute options on Metra for commuters who work in DuPage County.	A1, B9, C5
399	Please do not add any more capacity for cars on the IkeWe must have better interconected public transit with easy transfer across systems.	C5
400	Reversible HOV lanes could satisfy most of the peak hour problems of the IKE without requiring a widening of the ditch. In the alternative, I would prefer to see the Blue Line extended to at least 1st ave. A partial cap should be built whether or not lanes are added [through Oak park]. More and better public transportation coupled with policies which discourage auto travel would be the best course of action.	A2, B2, C7, C1
401	Adding a fourth lane will align the highway and eliminate westbound strangle and improve the eastbound trip. I am against tolls. And transit. No more. The area has tons of transit holding up the surface roads. Also an interchange at Norht avenue and 290 to 294 would reduce that strangle on 290.	A1, C2
402	Connect Cermak to 294N to I-88N ramp to divert I-290 traffic that could use Cermak and avoid Oakbrook congestion when going Cermak to I-88 West.	C11
403	I love blue line extension but need express lane to make it successful. (1) Travelers loop to Oakbrook need trip to be short, easy (2) Every day commuters who work in loop/Oakbrook need express can't stop at all stops or will take over.	B2, B4

I-290 Alternatives Identification and Evaluation

ID #	Proposed Alternative Comment	Disposition
404	Proposed Prairie Path, Realignment per CMAQ, Butterfield Road Reconstruction Project, Widening: Reconstruction. Prairie path to travel north via Mannheim to Washington, Mannheim then cross, travel west to exist offroad path at Forest.	B10
405	Provide additional space for bus loading/unloading. Get rid of center on/off ramps. Provide longer on ramps (thru traffic is hindered by turning vehicles). Build larger turn lanes for long semi-trucks (turn radius)	C2, C5
406	(1) Extend blue line to Oak Brook. (2) Ban commerical trucks between Mannheim and Cicero-better to loop. (3) Create tollway authority under state control. (4) Metra line following approx IL RTE 59.	A4, B2, B10, C11
407	Eliminate either Central Ave or Austin Blvd interchange. (1) Eliminate Austin Blvd interchange, Have CTA put Central Ave stop on Blue Line back in service and improve pedestrian and bike access at Austin Blvd. (2) Eliminate Central Ave interchange-improve pedestrian, bike and CTA bus access at Austin Blvd.	B2, C2, C3
408	Maintain 1 1/2 miles between interchanges. Ie eliminate interchanges at 9th ave, 17th ave, DesPlaines, Central Ave. Improve ped, bike, and bus acess at remaining interchanges. Improve ped, bike, and bus acess at DesPlaines, Circle, OakPark, East, Lombard, Central Ave.	C2, C3
409	Need better links between Metra and Pace. Especially for reverse commuters.	C5
410	Ped crossing at Harlem and I-290 is dangerous due to ramp configuration. Consider express CTA service from DesPlaines station to UIC Halsted, Med Center, or Jackson. Consider BRT along Harlem to Midway and North to O'Hare. Consider widening bridge at Circle Ave.	B7, B15, C2
411	BRT along Roosevelt and Mannheim to North interchanges at North, Melrose park station, Prairie Path, Roosevelt. No to "expressway improvements" (ie don't build more lanes). Express Blue Line (take auto lane on each side for 2nd rail line to allow express to pass) expand park+ride to encourage people to use these trains.	B2, B4, B7, C11
412	Transit needs are overlooked. We need more options and alternatives to driving.	B2, C3, C5
413	(1) Extend the blue line west to at least Oakbrook and eventually to the starline. (2) Improve the current bike trail and extend it into at least Oak park- connect it to the blue line.	B2, C3
414	I am writing to provide comments on the DRAFT PURPOSE AND NEED document for the I-290 proposed activities. The plan is geared toward highway expansion which will not alleviate highway congestion in the long run. If more lanes are constructed, more cars and trucks will find their way to I290 and will again become as congested as before the lane additions. A more holistic solutions needs to be found. If transportation issues are to be solved along the I290 corridor, the CTA Blue Line needs to be expanded/upgraded. (Special busing routes should not be created to overlap with the Blue Line coverage, this is spending extra funds on providing a repetitive public service.) More freight traffic can be directed along the rail lines south of the CTA Blue Line to alleviate truck traffic. The left side exit and entrance ramps are said to be unsafe, however in 9 years of living in Oak Park and my 38 years of living in the Chicagoland area, I have never seen an accident along this area. Traffic may slow down from the 70 mph speed of the vehicles, which may cause traffic to slow down to 55 mph - the legal speed limit on the road. If unsafe conditions are being caused, it is not due to the left hand ramps, but to drivers not obeying the speed limit. With the price of gas ever increasing, I am not sure why the state is putting more resources into road expansion. People are reducing their driving and taking public transport to save money. Any state funds need to be reallocated to supporting other forms of transportation. Also, the increase in traffic, will only decrease the living standards and health of the families living along this corridor and beyond.	B2, C4, C5
415	The most viable solution to transportation issues in the corridor is the expansion of the CTA Blue Line further west. Such expansion will accomplish the d ual goal 1) moving people through the corridor, and 2) reducing vehicles on I-290. By reducing the number of single occupancy cars, there'll be more capa city for truck traffic; which seems to be an underlying and unstated goal of this study.	B2
416	A "managed" lane, using only the existing structure would lead to even worse traffic jams. The Blue Line should be extended farther west, to reflect grow th in those areas. Long term planning should consider a monorail, which would free up more space beneath and be quieter. Unlike most Oak Park reside nts, I do think that it should not lose a lane at Oak Park. Not only is the backup annoying it puts a tremendous amount of fumes into the air. One way to expand the highway without encroaching farther on private land would be to have the blue line be a subway all the way and use its current space for cars . Perhaps more practically, the subway could begin at Austin Blvd, and then a lane could be added without taking any more Oak Park land.	A1, B2, C9
417	As a student at University of Illinois at Chicago, I would like to see the Morgan Street exit more aesthetically appealing. I am interested in involving stude nts in a project to build a garden that can enhance its appearance. Who controls the property on the east side of the highway there?	C2
418	Extend study area east to Wells or Michigan, Extend Blue line to Hillside and beyond	B2
419	As an Oak Park resident, I strongly urge for improvements in pedestrian and bicycle transportation in the Ike corridor, specifically at all crossings (Oak Par k and Ridgeland Ave. especially, repair/improvement of the Home Ave. pedestrian bridge, and more pedestrian bridges if possible. I also strongly oppos e expanding the Ike footprint in Oak Park. There should be no added traffic Iane studies have shown it will not ease congestion. We need rail and alternate transportation, not more auto congestion. Further, the existing off ramps sho uld not intrude further on Oak Park. The left exits are unique in the minimal intrusion on our limited space, and giving up space for "modern" off ramps i s moving in exactly the wrong direction.	C2, C3
420	better mass transit and bicycle-friendly and pedestrian-friendly features along 290 Beautification of the corridor on all exits entering Oak Park - Harlem, Austin bike friendly curbs and streets along Oak Park Ave., Home Street and 290 bridge improvements trash removal along highway and exits!	C3, C5
421	HRT to oakbrook, AGT to oakbrook, ped and bike improvements	B2, B16, C3
422	Extend Blue Line, Improve intersections along I-290	B2, C2
423	I strongly support: (1) HRT to Oak Brook or (2) AGT to Oak Brook and (3) non motorized pedestrian and bicycle improvements. I strongly oppose: (1) HOV lanes (2) Expressway expansion/improvements (3) arterial improvements	B2, B16, C3

ID #	Proposed Alternative Comment	Disposition
424	You have to look prior to Mannheim. The 294 / 290 interchange needs to be addressed. Traffic coming from 290 east onto 294 south backs up 290 to Yor k road. There is plenty of room here to use the fly over interchange. This is a major interchange and the current configuration squeezes 290 east inbound traffic to 1 lane due to 294 south bound traffic. The same occurs on west bound 290 traffic on a smaller scale when 294 merges onto 290 thru York road. f: congestion after Manheim. I do not understand why there is only 3 lanes from route 83 to Austin. There needs to be a Min of 4. The population of the metro area has exceeded the capacity of the current system. Austin to Circle should be 5 lanes. Traffic at the 53 90 interchange are at times 6 lanes and that over 40 miles from the City. Parking Garages. The court house and CTA would both benefit from parking garages to free up some land move the trains in to the parking lot and cars above the trains. g. In the places where land is tight why not use suspension bridges, expensive but effective. I also thin k concrete walls need to be built like they did on the Dan Ryan, even if your not going to expand lanes to the wall, use that space for shoulders to get bro ken down cars out of the way. h. Raised reversible express lanes. I know land is a premium. Also do not do 2 lanes like you did on the Kennedy It only tak es one problem in the express lane to make the solution the problem.	A1, C2, C11
425	We live on the south end of Oak Park, and my primary concern is making the El Stops at Oak Park Ave and Harlem Ave more pleasant and easier to use. The overpasses at these two streets are too narrow for pedestrians and bikes. The El stops are unpleasant (compared to some of the new stations in the city). The pedestrian bridges e.g. Home Avenue, over 290 are in horrible shape - dangerous to walk over and worse for biking due to uneven broken con crete.	C3, C4, C5
426	Expand the Blue Line to add commuters on the rails not the highway! Expanding the Ike is not solving the problem! Look at ways to make El & Metra acc ess easier for those in the burbs.	B2, C5
427	What?? Expand the IKE-our village was already cut in half when you built it and you tore up our trees bringing water to the western suburbs. How about Public transportationI take the blue line every day. Extend it, make it cleaner and safer and I think you may have a solution that will save lots in oil consumption, congestion and our tax dollars.	B2, C4, C5
428	Encourage public transportation!! Another waste of our tax dollars. We need alternatives and when people have an option that saves them money they will use it. If you have to have consultants-use some that are from the area!! Not Denver residents that don't have a solid public transport systemhow about some commone sense here-quit wasting our taxes on consultants!!	B2
429	We need to reform and expand the CTA and Metra options in order to be a viable city of the future.	B2, B9
430	I am shocked beyond belief that in this day of \$4.50 + /gallon gas and an increased awareness of the health and political costs of our reliance on foreign oil that an major highway expansion is even being considered. Experts in the field (talk to someone at McKinsey & Co. and scientific experts) readily agree that the U.S. is rapidly approaching or has already passed peak oil production. The coming years near to provide replacemens for a car driven culture, not a pathetic attempt by state agencies to get more money by expanding our roads. Most middle class people cannot afford a \$5/gallon commute from the far western suburbs on top of expensive city parking. We need to reform and expand the CTA and Metra options in order to be a viable city of the future.	C5
431	Please reconsider widening the IKE in the Oak Park area. Please DO consider more public transportation	B2
432	I am in favor of expanding blue line service to the far western suburbs. Not only would expanded blue line service relieve traffic congestion on the Eisenhower, but it would also offer a less expensive way for people to commute to downtown Chicago from the western suburbs. Widening the Eisenhower, by contrast, will encourage more people to drive to work, thereby increasing pollution. Widening the Eisenhower will also have a negative impact on communities like Oak Park. Housing values will decline as noise and pollution increase from an expanded Eisenhower. I am opposed to expanding the Eisenhower expressway. Widening the Eisenhower is not a cost-effective or environmentally sound solution to reduce traffic congestion.	B2
433	As a commuting stakeholder, I have the following comment and suggestions: There's more than enough room currenty on the Eisenhower to transport more than double the people that are currently travelling on the road. The key is to get rid of all of the empty seat hauling that we're doing. Sadly, 90% of all commuter trips have only one person in the car - the driver. 98% of all commutes have only one or two people in each car. The solution is to manufacture and drive narrow cars - cars with all of the passenger seats behind the driver. That way there can be more than double the existing number of lanes on the roads without widening the highways. I couldn't disagree more with widening the road as a solution. There's no reason to believe ultra- narrow car technology won't take over the highways as fast as computers, cell phones, and smart phones have in a 20 year span. To start, then, legalize lane splitting and create "Congestion Passing Lanes" in the middle of the highway where only narrow cars and motorcycles are allowed to lane split. Public service announcements from newspaper, radio, and tv news would communicate the need for careful lane changing in the middle of the highway during congested periods. As people would see the narrow cars and motorcycles passing the congested traffic, more and more people would transfer to this type of vehicle. For more information, see www.deletetheseats.org and www.commutercars.com. Delete the seats.	C11

ID #	Proposed Alternative Comment	Disposition
434	This is a time of great opportunity to place Chicago at the forefront of modern transit. Expanding the lke is not the solution. With gas prices at an all time high, and with such unrest in the Middle East, this is not a time to increase our reliance on oil. This is an opportunity to improve public transit via the CTA and Metra. Now is the time to utilize financial resources towards a state-of-the-art high-speed rail system. I strongly believe that expanding the lke is NOT the answer. It would be a band-aid not a solution. We must expand our thinking and imagination and use this opportunity to implement alternative commuter resources that do not rely on fuel-reliant vehicles. Chicago suburban-area residents need alternative commuter transportation. The cost of living continues to climb while wages remain stagnant. Forcing commuters to rely on their vehicle is not the answer. Chicago parking rates are ridiculously high. These rates limit my family's participation at Chicago attractions and events. We deserve an alternative mode of high-quality transportation. If resources are or become available, do not force Chicago-area residents to rely more on their vehicles. Give us the opportunity to use our tax dollars towards improved and reliable public transit. Specific factors that could improve the commuter experience are 1) a more accessible and 2) sanitary CTA. The current state of the CTA limits our participation in Chicago events, attractions, shopping, etc. As touched on, we cannot afford parking in downtown Chicago. In addition, we are limiting our car use due to the high price of gas. Therefore we usually take the blue line into the city. However, we have a young child and always travel with a stroller. The limited number of CTA stops with elevator access is unacceptable. Not only that, but the elevators that do exist smell so horrible of urine that it keeps us from visiting the city. Improving CTA and alternative rail systems is the answer. With these improvements, our family would take greater advantage of al	B2, C4
435	I commute on the Eisenhower every day. Public transportation isn't an option for me due to the nature of my work. While improving the blue line should be part of this improvement, many people like myself simply can't abandon their vehicle in favor of public transportation. We are at the mercy of a poorly designed road. There is room to improve it and make it flow. Restricting access from other roads would help. Why do we need all the avenues pouring in? Cut off a few and have the merging roads reduced. I like the separated road Eastbound near the old Hillside Strangler. You should extend that to 171 so that all merging/exiting traffic doesn't mingle with the three lanes of the lke until after 171. The Central access going Westbound is too close to Austin, which is too close to Harlem. Finding a way to route Central onto Austin and eliminating that access would help a ton. Right now people exit at Austin, then jump across and enter on the other side passing 40 cars, but snarling traffic at the merge on the other side. Changing the lights to prevent this will help.	C2, C6
436	Please consider the inclusion of a parallel lane for cycling commuters, similar to the lake front path of LSD.	C3
437	With the rising cost to operate an auto and the environmental effect of a higher number of cars traveling through the Oak Park corridor it seems that dollars would be better spent to expand the rapid transit system which could use the revenue. Especially with the program being put in place that would take free travel away from senior citizens. There is definately something in this program that clearly shows a hugh profit for individuals that do not deserve it. The time is coming when we will find that if we do not start putting constructive programs in place not only will Oak Park loose its standing as a community that cares, but our state revenue will collapse and the likelihood of an overpriced expansion being completed properly almost impossible.	B2
438	Please do not expand the Ike. Adding HOV lanes will not significantly reduce congestion. Only a multi-modal solution of expanding blue line, metra alternatives, and possibly light rail will reduce congestion.	B2, B9, B17
439	I am a resident of Oak Park and live near the highway. I am against the expansion of the Ike since it will have a detrimental impact to a historic community and will only perpetuate our reliance on automobiles. Instead of more lanes, the train service should be expanded and improved. For example, lengthening the Blue Line will draw additional commuters from communities not well serviced currently, e.g. Maywood, Westchester, Hillside. A high speed rail system should also be considered. Finally, there are freight tracks that are RARELY used that is taking precious real estate. Perhaps these can be used to create express Blue Line tracks.	B2, B13
440	Make it a double decker expressway	C8
441	Why can't they double-decker the highway.	C8
442	If new lanes are going to HOV lanes, don't bother - waste of money, unless two lanes are added: 1-HOV, 1-unrestricted. "Congestion pricing"? Why penalize commuters for something that's not their fault? If the road was built right in the first place it wouldn't be congested.	A1
443	Could an elevated in/out express lane be a possibility? Where possible build it over the Metra tracks until Metra goes below ground and at that point bring it over the east/west lanes that currently exist. Have 5 mile stretches without on/off ramps to handle the suburban traffic and not the intra city traffic	C8
444	As a resident of Oak Park for most of the past 25 years, including three in a house facing I-290 and nine in a house within 100 yards of the expressway, I am absolutely against further widening of the expressway through Oak park. The level of noise, soot and air pollution is already a detriment to the quality of life in this area, further widening this expressway will exacerbate these issues without eliminating tarffic congestion or even mitigating it in any meaningful way. Congestion will remain and our community will pay a price for little or no reward/benefit to the greater metro area. What is needed, as soon as poossible, is a sound wall to contain and mitigate the noise caused by the expressway, the blue line, and the rail lines. Further expansion of the blue line makes absolute sense and should be the first priority when reconsidering the Eisenhower.	B2
445	Its so easy.Double deck it.You would have twice as many lanes right away.We have the technology to prevent collapse.only have to plow snow on the top lanes.Dont have to buy more property.	C8
446	I agree with nearly all of the suggested steps. Definitely: e. Widen the road to three lanes west of Austin to eliminate the bottleneck. f. Extend the CTA Blue Line to Yorktown shopping center, but you would need express trains to speed up the trip. (The CTA used to have 'A'trains and 'B' trains that each made every other stop, except for a few stops where you could change trains. That made sense.) g. Expand parking at the CTA stations. I would use the Blue Line a lot more, but the parking at Forest Park is a nightmare. You should be able to use a credit card to pay for parking.	A1, B2, B4, C11
447	With the high cost of adding lanes, which would not necessarily reduce congestion, I think the best solution is to construct an elevated, four lane expressway with limited entrances and exits. Many of the vehicles entering at 294 are headed to the loop. Frames that span the tracks or hammer head piers could support the structure with high level bridges at the existing overpasses	С8

I-290 Alternatives Identification and Evaluation

ID #	Proposed Alternative Comment	Disposition
448	We believe that it is not possible to build our way out of congestion on I-290. The "Hillside Strangler" project has demonstrated that. There is too much congestion – 17 hours each day – to make a dent by adding another general purpose car lane, as has been suggested. Moreover, adding a lane on I-290 would eventually attract more cars, resulting in comparable levels of congestion but with even more traffic. The best strategy for reducing congestion, improving mobility and safety, and creating better access to jobs in the I-290 corridor is to make it easier for travelers to use transit, biking, and walking for work commutes and other trips. We need a combination of new Bus Rapid Transit service; improved service on existing rail, (CTA and Metra) and bus (CTA and PACE) lines; better, faster bus connections to CTA and Metra rail; and better, safer bike and pedestrian access to transit and jobs. IDOT should focus on these alternatives as the study continues.	B2, B7, B9, C3, C5
449	What the Ike corridor needs is not more cars, but more trains	B2
450	I would like to suggest that the advisory group consider the addition of dedicated bike lanes in any improved design of the Eisenhower. Dedicated bike lanes would dramatically lower bike commute times, which would in turn increase the number of bike commuters from nearby communities like oak park, forest park and river forest, resulting in lower vehicle traffic volumes. Compared to a multi-modal route, such as walk, train, walk, or bike, train, walk, a unimodal route is typically much preferable. Separate bike lanes on the highway would make biking a competitive option to public transit as well as driving, from communities within 10 miles radius.	C3
451	What is needed is 4 LANES in the proposed stretch of road. Save up funds for this and do it right. Maybe do east bound 4th lane first, then west bound later after more money becomes available. (maybe Federal jobs program money) Keep buses off! I see problems with that. Also, no tolls. This was supposed to be a free road. What happened to all the fuel taxes we paid? Keep the Tollway commision as far away as possible from Ike. Why single out Ike for tolls? If Ike is tolled, then why not all exoressways? If nothing else, continue eastbound 4th lane east of 25th Ave. (between railroad bridge abutments, I think there is room.) Also, moving Harlem & Austin ramps to right side of expressway makes sense, but how to do this with RR tracks on the south? If possible, this would almost make enough room for another lane(s) thru Oak Park. If Oak Park doesn't cooperate again, I have a couple of suggestions: e) close all ramps to & from Oak Park. Evidently, they do not need Ike. f) keep ramps open but toll those ramps only. Seriously, I've spent a ton a of time in the "suburban squeeze" over decades of commuting on the Ike. Extending the light rail line further west is also a good idea.	A1, B2, C2, C11
452	These are my thoughts: e. As much consideration should be given to improving public transportation including the CTA Blue Line as is given to expanding the lanes on the Eisenhower. f. Reversible lanes are a waste of time on the Eisenhower. g. I believe express bus lanes will have a minimal impact on reducing congestion. Going north on the CTA – four train lines occupy the same platform in the most heavily populated area, and three lines thereafter. Although this accommodates mostly rush hour traffic it allows a great deal of flexibility for commuters. If another line could that catered to commuters and expressed to forest park from downtown or maybe Illinois Medical , turned around at Clinton or LaSalle and then ride back out to Hillside or Rolling Meadows. i. I understand there is talk of expanding the CTA line. If it is expanded it should be expanded at a minimum to Hillside and most likely to Rolling Meadows. j. If the goal is to allow commuter to travel to and from work – some attention should be paid to 24 hour transit and bus service. k. Bicycles – A bike only path should extend from downtown out to Forest Park with exits only at certain main streets. The path should be lit have reflectors on the ground and along the sides, and be patrolled by officers on bikes, 3-wheel or 4-wheel carts, or Segways and be closed to other motorized or foot traffic. I. Trucks should be barred from using the Eisenhower during certain time periods. m. Flexible toll rates will force drivers to other routes but will not ease overall congestion. c. Congestion may be eased if a second "elevated" 4 to 6 lane Eisenhower were built for cars only.	B2, C3, C5, C8
453	I think extending the Blue Line to Oak Brook would do a lot to cut down on vehicular traffic	B2
454	Sure we can use more public transp., but the road needs to be four lanes all the way to 88/294.	A1
455	Please consider IMPROVEMENTS IN TRAIN SERVICE including expansion of the Blue Line westward to Oak Brook in order to decrease vehicle traffic that generates noise and pollution in residential areas.	B2
456	I have lived near the Eisenhower most of my life. I feel strongly that we need to extend the rail line down the middle of the Eisenhower that would go all out to Oakbrook and beyond. The drive down the IKE is now pushing people away from living hereI hear it all the time.	B2
457	I do not think the Eisenhower expressway should be widened. What the area needs is better public tansportation and transportation links instead.	C5
458	I would like to see more federal and state monies going to support public transportation and encouraging bike use instead of building more roads or wider roads. We have enough car and oil use as it is.	B2, C3
459	It is foolhardy to add highway lanes in the 21st century. We cannot afford financially or ecologically to reinforce automobile travile in urban areas. Extend and improve blue line. I would love to be able to take it to Oakbrook. We go downtown all the time to cultural events, to eat, to shop, no arguments about what lane to be in. no parking fees or hassle. My recent observation of ike drivers while riding the blue line was the high precentage of one occupent cars. HOV lanes arne't going to be very popular and you will have delayed (expensively) the inevetible need for transportation.	В2
460	Establish Ramp at Racine, Morgan ramp is inadequate	C2
461	My idea would be to make the expressway into a variable speed expressway (VSE).	A2, A3
462	Request a high-performance bus alternative as managed lane or dedicated lane as replacement to blue line	A2, A3, B7
463	I was unable to attend the last meeting, but my idea is to simply add 2 lanes, but make them toll lanes. Charging a toll would deter much of the traffic from entering what would be express lanes. These would be built on both sides of the expressway, and eventually be paid off by the tolls. The tolls would then help pay for the cost of repairs.	A3
464	ITS at access points to I-290.	C6
465	Smart Corridors [TSM]	C6

I-290 Alternatives Identification and Evaluation

ID #	Proposed Alternative Comment	Disposition
466	Station/roadway improvements - ITS/TSP; Roosevelt Rd - bus turnouts & limit peak [-period] parking; park & ride at Wolf; guided bus on [Illinois Prairie] Path - TSP at intersections.	C5, C11
467	Shift CTA ROW to CSX for improved stations, increased platform width, and connections to neighborhood. Add park & rides at intermediate stations. Begin shift to CSX ROW at approximately Cicero Ave and extend to Des Plaines Ave.	C5, C11
468	Express bus from Metra train station in Maywood along 5th Ave to Loyola. Stops at Washington Blvd, Madison St, and Roosevelt Rd.	B15
469	Better connection from Metra train stop to Cook County Court House (not timed right currently).	C5
470	Bus routes - Ridgeland Ave and Central Ave route to open Blue Line station at Austin Blvd; Laramie bus to Cicero Ave.	C5
471	Redevelop CTA Blue Line Harlem station.	C4
472	Redevelop CTA Blue Line Oak Park station.	C4
473	Redevelop CTA Blue Line Austin station.	C4
474	Increase park & ride capacity at Forest Park and improve connections to roadways for bus and auto, improve connection to Prairie Path, rebuild CTA yard and shop at Des Plaines Ave, widen bridges at CTA connections and bus routes crossing I-290 for improved pedestrian access, bike facilities, visibility, and improve drainage in I-290 corridor including CTA facilities.	C3, C5, C11
475	Provide improved connectivity for regional bikeways. 1) Extend Illinois Prairie Path east, connecting with off street bikeway in Columbus Park, further connecting to the City of Chicago "streets for cycling" system. 2) Improve prairie path crossings of SRA's preferably with grade separations. 3) Provide crossing of Des Plaines River Trail (proposed) across I-290. 4) Provide linkages from Prairie Path and Salt Creek Greenway to new and developing commercial uses in Hillside (see Hillside ITEP submittal proposed in 2010). 5) Provide undercrossing of I-290 in Northlake.	C3
476	Formal request to analyze the potential for a major new off-road trail connecting to the Illinois Prairie Path and other local and regional bikeways within the study corridor. Create an extension of this trail [assumed Illinois Prairie Path] to connect western Cook County communities to the City of Chicago IDOT's current study could be the first step in creating a new Eisenhower Regional Trail.	C3
477	Please improve existing pedestrian and non-motorized sidewalk infrastructure (i.e. 1st Ave on east side of street north of I-290). Access across I-290 ditch is poor along I-290 corridor. Limited bicycle parking along I-290.	С3
478	1) Improve interchange area non-motorized crossings and safety focus on Austin Blvd, Harlem Ave, DesPlaines Ave, 1st Ave, 17th Ave, 25th Ave, Mannheim Rd (see regional bikeway sheet). 2) Provide wide bike-pedestrian crossing of expressway; where widening is not feasible separate walkways from traffic with a safety barrier. Provide crossings every 1/4 mile where expressway separates communities to eliminate barriers to walking. 3) Provide bike lanes across expressway on non-state roads. 4) Minimize bike/pedestrian crossing distances over heavy-traffic facility. 5) Provide transit access improvements.	C3
479	Improve arterial and non-motorized opportunities for intersections at Harlem Ave & Austin Blvd. Maintain bridge access at Lombard Ave, East Ave, and pedestrian bridge at Home Ave.	C3
480	Pedestrian/bike improvements at expressway Interchange.	C2, C3
481	Use single point [urban] Interchange to minimize pedestrian/vehicle interaction and enhance safety and neighborhood quality	C2
482	Change exits to center lane exits (rather than right lane exits) to provide consistency and improve quality of neighborhood experience, and improve pedestrian safety. Interchanges include Mannheim Rd, 25th Ave, 1st Ave, Central Ave, Laramie Ave and Cicero Ave.	C2
483	Interchange traffic circles at 4 locations - 1st Ave, Harlem Ave, Austin Blvd, and Cicero Ave.	C2
484	Major interchange improvements along I-290 (1st Ave, Austin Blvd, ramp access on & off I-290).	C2
485	Same interchanges but modernize them and no left-lane ramps.	C2
486	Eliminate interchange to reduce expressway vehicle conflicts and manage expressway VMT. Provide access management on approaches to remaining Interchange through intergovernmental agreements. Provide better local street connectivity (assume existing bridges to remain). Improved local street connectivity will reduce interchange congestion. Complete interchange or expand interchange capacity.	C2
487	EZ access on/off ramps from I-290 to Forest Park station.	C2
488	Frequent (15 min headway) system of express bus routes on new or converted HOT, HOV, or bus lanes. Routes would originate on the west at the intermodal terminal in Forest Park or Hillside. Bus routes include destinations along 1) Western Ave N & S; 2) Michigan Ave in Streeterville; 3) along Cicero Ave north and Cicero Ave to Midway Airport; 4) to Medical Center (at Ashland Ave); 5) Hyde Park and University of Chicago. Buses operate express along I-290 and limited stop along arterial streets. Intermodal terminal would include park & ride lot.	B15
489	Improve park & ride option at Forest Park station based on analysis of need, assuming Blue Line extension.	C11
490	Larger parking lot at DesPlaines Ave.	C11
491	IDOT Rapid Deployment Emergency Crash Removal Program - Know there is some program presently, but development of emergency lanes and emergency signal/info devices on highway to allow faster access to crash site for removal. Area shown within project limits - Mannheim Rd to Cicero Ave.	C11
492	Re-evaluate multiple bridge crossings at Des Plaines and create two way access to and from Van Buren for better access to station.	C10
493	Arterial improvements on Roosevelt Rd to improve bus flow and amenities.	C10

ID #	Proposed Alternative Comment	Disposition
494	UP-W line-within-a-line from Cicero Ave to Elmhurst for reverse commute. Stops at Cicero Ave, Harlem Ave, 5th Ave, Broadway, Mannheim Rd, I-294 and York Rd.	B9
495	Melrose Park and Bellwood have suggested through RTA community planning (former RTAP) process to combine stations with a new station near 25th Ave.	B9
496	Upgrade existing Metra service to make it an attractive commute option along the UP[-W] line. Increase frequency of trains, demo fares (fare integration), improved station facilities.	B9
497	Capacity improvements on Metra BNSF and UP-W. Five additional peak limited stop trains.	B9
498	Upgrade service on Metra's MD-W line.	В9
499	3 train service on Metra UP-W line; upgrade signal systems for trains.	В9
500	Metra MD-W Service Expansion	В9
501	Metra UP-W Service Expansion	B9
502	Metra BNSF Service Expansion	B9
503	Harlem Avenue	C10
504	BRT Roosevelt	B8
505	BRT along Cermak Rd from 54th St to I-355.	B8
506	BRT in exclusive lane on Roosevelt Rd; integration with J-line on west end.	B7, B8
507	BRT line in the existing "ditch" along I-290 from park & ride facility at Hillside to downtown Chicago. Should include express capabilities and have key stops at I-294/I-88/I-290, 1st Ave, Harlem Ave, Austin Blvd, Cicero Ave and Western Ave.	B7
508	BRT, Loop to I-355 via Blue Line ROW, I-290 and I-88	B7
509	Mid-City BRT	B7
510	I-290/I-88 BRT [1st Ave to Highland Ave]	B7
511	1st Ave ART	C10
512	East and west power line corridor [assume to be adjacent to Illinois Prairie Path]. Busway.	B8
513	I-290 managed lane (price and/or occupancy) from Cicero Ave to I-355 with a BRT lane from Des Plaines Ave to I-355. BRT stops at I-355, Main St, Meyers Rd, Summit Ave, Kingery Hwy [IL 83], York Rd, Wolf Rd, Mannheim Rd, 25th Ave, 1st Ave, and Des Plaines Ave.	A2, A3, B7
514	DuPage "J" Line	B7
515	CTA Green Line extension from Forest Park / Oak Park to Maywood. Stations located at 5th Ave and 19th Ave. (60% of employed Maywood residents work along Green Line).	B5
516	Build CTA park & ride, yard, shop at Maywood ComEd site and extend Blue Line. Connect to regional bus system.	B2, C5, C11
517	Blue Line extension to Mannheim Rd; new stations at Maybrook Courthouse, 17th Ave and Mannheim Rd; shuttle bus service to Loyola Medical Center and Hines VA [hospital].	B2, C5
518	Blue Line extension with north south circulators with interline connectors, employment center/hospital connectors.	B2, C5
519	Managed lanes-not new, but rather price all existing without add-a-lane. Blue Line extension west to I-290/I-294 "triangle". Peak period pricing on all existing I-290 lanes. Transit hub to interface with bus service at I-290/I-294 including potential DuPage J-Line operating Naperville/Oak Brook/Schaumburg alignment. Large park & ride facility with car share program in-place on-site. Rebuild I-290 without add-a-lane component.	A4, B2, C11
520	Extension of local service Blue Line. Phase 1 to include 4 additional stops to Mannheim Rd over capped landfill. Phase 2 continues connection to I-290, I- 294, I-88 expressways with a park & ride oasis. Additional stations at 1st Ave, 17th Ave, 25th Ave and Mannheim Rd. Also renovate existing Des Plaines Ave station. [Also include a new multimodal transit station converting CN rail to express train at Austin Blvd - see Alt 29.]	B2, B10, B11,
521	See Cook DuPage [Corridor study] final system map, but limit Blue Line to Oak Brook area, e.g. I-290/I-294, not Lombard or even further west. Key elements: HRT in I-290 corridor, DuPage J-Line connect to HRT, add pricing on all I-290 lanes (existing) with no add-a-lane. Also N/S transit at Inner Circumferential [commuter rail] (25th) area. Rebuild I-290 without expansion.	A4, B2, B10
522	HOT lane w/bus (BRT) improvements. Use toll proceeds for transit improvements (extensions of Blue Line to 1st Ave with expanded parking and direct ramp access for parking and bus. Improve/rebuild existing Blue Line).	A3, B2, B7, B11,
523	Blue Line extension to 1st Ave with BRT access and park & ride; BRT in HOV lane of I-290/88 to Oak Brook; stations at logical cross arterials; BRT access to major activity centers in Oak Brook; integration with J-Line on west end.	A2, B2, B7
524	Extend Blue Line to Courthouse from Des Plaines Ave.	B2
525	Extend Blue Line to Oak Brook from Des Plaines Ave along I-290.	B2

I-290 Alternatives Identification and Evaluation

ID #	Proposed Alternative Comment	Disposition
526	Extend Blue Line to Oak Brook from Des Plaines Ave along I-290. Stations at 1st Ave, 25th Ave, Mannheim Rd, Wolf Rd, York Rd, and Spring Rd.	B2
527	Extend Blue Line to Oak Brook with a shared station between 1st Ave and 5th Ave, and at 25th Ave and potential stations at Mannheim Rd and Wolf Rd.	B2
528	Extend Blue Line to 1st Ave from Des Plaines Ave.	B2
529	Extend CTA Blue Line to Oak Brook in I-290 and I-88 ROW. Under no circumstances should the Prairie Path be utilized.	B2
530	Extend the CTA Blue Line along I-290 to encourage better usage of the existing Blue Line capacity.	B2
531	Modern, fast, clean, state-of-the-art mass transit from Loop to Oak Brook. Fixed, not bus line. Along I-290 ROW.	B2
532	Extension should be a high speed, mass transit application. If new technology is appropriate use it - and replace aging Blue Line currently in place with newer technology. Whatever is built will be used for 60 to 100 years. It should be modern, clean and fast with good station accessibility and planned economic growth linked to the stations. Fixed tech - not bus. Along I-290 ROW.	B2
533	Extend Blue Line to Oak Brook along I-290 with key stations at 1st Ave, 25th Ave, and Spring Rd. Also add another rail line or ability to run "express" service between Oak Brook and downtown Chicago and O'Hare.	B2, B4
534	CTA Blue Line extension to Oak Brook from O'Hare along I-290 ROW. Stops at 1st Ave, 25th Ave, Wolf Rd, York Rd and Spring Rd. Tourists/visitors take Blue Line to Oak Brook hotels/shopping /entertainment center instead of staying in downtown Chicago. Tax revenue enhanced in DuPage County. A 1/4% tax on hotel stays is enacted to fund transit in the region.	B2
535	Extend CTA Blue Line to new terminal at 1st Ave using former Great Western ROW. Move CTA parking and yard/shop to new terminal. Keep existing CTA Des Plaines station, but redevelop remainder of property with T.O.D. This alternative frees up CTA ROW adjacent to I-290 between Des Plaines Ave and river crossing for roadway widening.	B2
536	Continue Blue Line to Wolf Rd. Add 5 stops with park & ride capacity. Stops at 1st Ave, 17th Ave, 25th Ave, Mannheim Rd then Wolf Rd.	B2, C11
537	Expand Blue Line through old RR ROW to west side of Des Plaines river. Build new transit multi-modal center at Maybrook Court facility. This will allow further expansion for BRT/other transit to the west.	B2, C11
538	Possible connection of CTA from Forest Park to [O'Hare] Airport.	B2
539	Use the old Great Western right-of-way thru Concordia Cemetery for CTA to access Maybrook Square and continue on Eisenhower west. This alternative is so burials would not have to be moved in cemeteries for widening of highway.	B2
540	Use Cook DuPage [Corridor study] Blue Line extension to Oak Brook.	B2
541	Blue Line extension (elevated structure) over Prairie Path (maintaining bike use) to Oak Brook via Butterfield Rd & I-88.	B3
542	Blue Line extension to Maybrook Courthouse; station at Maybrook Courthouse.	B2
543	Extending Blue Line to Maywood court house would alleviate multi-modal traffic issues by riders currently exiting at Forest Park when then have to bus to court house.	B2
544	Extend CTA BL to Maybrook Court via Maybrook Drive.	B2
545	I-290 Blue Line Extension [FP CTA to II 83]	B2
546	I-290 Blue Line Extension [via I-290/ I88 ROW, FP CTA to Highland Ave]	B2
547	Blue Line extension via IPP, to co-exist with IPP [Illinois Prairie Path]. Begins at Des Plaines Ave, ends at Spring Rd in Oak Brook. Stations at 1st Ave, 25th Ave, Mannheim Rd, Wolf Rd, York Rd.	B3
548	Blue Line extension to Oak Brook via Prairie Path (maintain bike use) on elevated structure to Oak Brook activity centers adjacent along Butterfield Rd and arterials.	B3
549	Extend the CTA's Blue Line farther to the west to a destination spot, for example Oak Brook Terrace or Downers Grove. Create a north/south HRT, CR, or LRT line, maybe along I-355, to connect to existing single and multi modal transportation systems that lead to interior suburbs and the city of Chicago.	B2
550	Blue Line extension along I-290 [to I-88/I-290 split] at the edge of the ROW to avoid isolating stations in the middle of the expressway and making pedestrian, bus and bike access difficult. Also restructure local busing to serve HRT at O/D ends.	B2
551	More express buses from the west into Forest Park. Rush hour only.	B15
552	Express bus from/to 3 major locations.	B15
553	Have a dedicated express rail (CR and/or AGT / High Speed Rail). This would transfer auto trips from remote areas to express rail service with minimal stops. Should feature Chicago desired rail from O'Hare to [McCormick] Convention Center. [see Alternative 28 for recommended multi-modal transit station at Austin Blvd.] Collect I-290, I-294, I-88 at park & ride oasis. [see Alternative 28 for Blue Line extension, phase 1]	B13, B16
554	Abandon CTA ROW west of medical center (Ashland Ave). Build new transfer station for Illinois Medical District [IMD] and access to yard and shop at 54th.	B12, C11
555	Inner Circumferential Rail	B10
556	Prairie Path Realignment	C3
557	I-290 tolled facility with congestion pricing or peak hour tolling. Include I-55 in strategy.	A4
558	I-88/I-290 HOT Lanes [Cicero Ave to I-355] & Express Bus	A3, B15
559	I-290 BRT [Cicero Ave to II 83] & HOV [Cicero Ave to I-88/I-290 split]	A2, B7

I-290 Alternatives Identification and Evaluation

ID #	Proposed Alternative Comment	Disposition
560	I-290 BRT [1st Ave to II 83], HOV [1st Ave to I-88/I-290 split] & Blue Line Extension to 1st Ave.	A2, B2, B7
561	Express bus using HOV/BRT lanes from Oak Brook to Chicago.	A2, B7, B15
562	BRT continues westbound from Forest Park Blue Line station. This option becomes viable with an additional managed/HOT lane. Also see relocation of Forest Park Blue Line terminal to west of river.	A2, A3, B7
563	Convert lane to managed lane. Lowest cost transit improvement. Upfront lease payment for 25 years of revenue collection.	A2, A3
564	Provide managed lane facility westbound from Circle interchange to I-294 / I-88. Provide managed lane facility eastbound from I-294/I-88 to Cicero Ave. Implement congestion pricing. Implement HOV preferences and Q-bypasses at Interchange. Tighten ramp metering; provide truck bypass and HOV bypass.	A2, A3, C6, C11
565	BRT in HOV lane in I-290/I-88 with direct (ramp/lane) access to Forest Park Blue Line; Oak Brook end includes access to major center(s) via arterial lanes; stations at logical major arterials/activity centers; integration with J-line on west end.	A2, A3, B7
566	I-290 HOV Lanes (2 + 1 on I-88) [Cicero Ave to I-88/I-290 split, split to IL ? Or I-355]	A2
567	In Oak Park section of the Ike [I-290], consider adding 2 lanes from Austin Ave to Harlem Ave by eliminating left hand ramps. Replace ramps with an elevated roadway connecting the 2 streets.	A1, C2, C8
568	Add managed lane. Convert lane 1 on I-88. Add managed lane on I-290. No free HOV passage. 25 year optional lease payment.	A1, A3
569	Managed lane network on regional expressways and tollways using a conversion of existing lanes in all locations (I-294, I-88, I-290) except along I-290 between Central Ave and 25th Ave where new construction would be necessary to add one managed lane in each direction. Access points at major roadway interchanges, including connection to Blue Line terminus at Des Plaines Ave or 1st Ave.	A1, A2, A3, C5
570	Add managed lane or convert existing lane with toll revenue used to fund transit improvements. Begin at Mannheim Rd and extend to Circle interchange.	A1, A2, A3
571	WCMC Letter. The WCMC would encourage IDOT to utilize a multimodal approach when exploring all possibilities beyond the traditional roadway approach. We hope that a roadway expansion will only be considered as a last resort or as supplemental to a reasonable transit option prior to disturbing the land use of one of our communities. The Cook DuPage Corridor Study has closely reviewed potential alternatives to improve traffic flow along the Eisenhower. We hope that the work completed during this study will be given due consideration during this Pahse I study.	B2
572	In summary, I-290 should not expand the number of lanes, pon/off ramps should remain as is, public transit should be increase i.e CTA Blue Line extension/expansion, increase in bus and Metra services, regional trnasportation hub to be expanded /developed, increasing transit	B2, B9, C5, C11